

In the US District Court of California - Writ Habeas Corpus § 2241

Todd Giffen,

No.

Petitioner,

Vs.

USA, President Barack Obama, Secretary of Defense Chuck Hagel, US Department of Justice, US Attorney General Eric Holder Jr., Federal Bureau of Investigation, FBI Director James Comey, Director of National Intelligence James R. Clapper, US Secretary of Homeland Security Jeh Johnson, US Department of Homeland Security, US Department of Defense, US Department of the Army, US Department of the Navy, US Department of the Air Force, NSA Director Michael S. Rogers, National Security Agency, CIA Director John O. Brennan, Central Intelligence Agency, Defense Intelligence Agency, Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency, National Reconnaissance Office, National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency, Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency, Defense Logistics Agency, Missile Defense Agency, Defense Threat Reduction Agency, Pentagon Force Protection Agency, State of Oregon Attorney General Ellen Rosenblum, Oregon Governor John Kitzhaber, State of California Attorney General Kamala Harris, and California Governor Jerry Brown.

Motion to recuse judge Stanley Boone & Request for reconsideration previous denied motion for appointment of counsel (request for reconsideration should be reviewed by a judge other than Stanley Boone, such as Lawrence O'Neill, Presiding Judge on the case).

Respondents.

Motion to recuse judge Stanley Boone & Request for reconsideration previous denied motion for appointment of counsel (request for reconsideration should be reviewed by a judge other than Stanley Boone, such as Lawrence O'Neill, Presiding Judge on the case).

28 U.S. Code § 455 - Disqualification of justice, judge, or magistrate judge

(a) Any justice, judge, or magistrate judge of the United States shall disqualify himself in any proceeding in which his impartiality might reasonably be questioned.

(b) He shall also disqualify himself in the following circumstances:

(1) Where he has a personal bias or prejudice concerning a party, or personal knowledge of disputed evidentiary facts concerning the proceeding;

(2) Where in private practice he served as lawyer in the matter in controversy, or a lawyer with whom he previously practiced law served during such association as a lawyer concerning the matter, or the judge or such lawyer has been a material witness concerning it;

(3) Where he has served in governmental employment and in such capacity participated as counsel, adviser or material witness concerning the proceeding or expressed an opinion concerning the merits of the particular case in controversy;

(4) He knows that he, individually or as a fiduciary, or his spouse or minor child residing in his household, has a financial interest in the subject matter in controversy or in a party to the proceeding, or any other interest that could be substantially affected by the outcome of the proceeding;

Todd Giffen

3921 Weston Way

Modesto, CA 95356

5039675202 – case@oregonstatehospital.net – <http://www.obamasweapon.com/>

(5) He or his spouse, or a person within the third degree of relationship to either of them, or the spouse of such a person:

- (i) Is a party to the proceeding, or an officer, director, or trustee of a party;
- (ii) Is acting as a lawyer in the proceeding;
- (iii) Is known by the judge to have an interest that could be substantially affected by the outcome of the proceeding;
- (iv) Is to the judge's knowledge likely to be a material witness in the proceeding.

Judge Stanley Boone is interfering with my case where a clear conflict of interest has been identified. Judge Stanley Boone has previously worked for the US Department of Justice, a defendant in the case who has attempted to murder me and has tortured me and has set me up. Stanley Boone has had adequate time to self-rescue himself and identify this conflict of interest and has been informed of it in a prior motion.

Judge Stanley Boone was informed of this problem, and he denied a motion to appoint counsel in bad faith not once but twice. He claims there is not an "interest in justice" while denying counsel. If a prudent judge or person reviews my case, they would learn that I am currently being victimized by the US Department of Justice & United States military and I have suffered severe injury from murder attempts and torture that is none stop. My doctors and psychologists have backed me up, yet Stanley Boone signs his name denying the motions to appoint an attorney to assist me in the case when I am in fact in serious danger without having an attorney appointed. The case also risks a false dismissal and solution that offers to allow the government to continue to control and abuse me without relief. I also have severe brain injury that gets worse and worse each day, and I cannot plead my case on my own behalf any longer, due to these injuries.

The United States Military is bombarding my body with radiation, and CIA, DOD, US DOJ, and NASA whistleblowers furthermore provided information which I submitted, that backs this up. Dr. Robert Duncan, a surveillance system architect for the government, who helped design this weapon (25 HAARP type arrays, and satellite systems for the government, passive and active acoustic and none acoustic arrays), states this in several of the originally submitted exhibits (audio and video on the DVDs included and text based emails between me and my psychologist).

I believe that because Stanley Boone has an interest in protecting the US Department of Justice and his ties to federal government agencies (who routinely rely on this surveillance/weapons system while in duty), and that because he clearly understands my problem, that he denied my motions for counsel simply to sabotage my case & prevent me from getting help.

Why else would Stanley Boone deny a victim who could be murdered an attorney under 18 USC 3006A (a)(2)(B)? Why would he find there is no interest in justice, when I am in imminent danger and have such a valid complaint as evidenced by the complaint and proof submitted, and the details on my website, [obamasweapon.com](http://www.obamasweapon.com/)? What kind of neutral judge denies a petitioner who's being tortured and abused physically with radiation an appointed attorney, and does not act to protect or grant relief

after weeks of initially getting the complaints, leaving petitioner to suffer? Was this all a plot to kill petitioner and deny him relief forever? ☺

DATED: 9/8/2014

Todd Giffen

3921 Weston Way

Modesto, CA 95356

503-967-5202 - case@oregonstatehospital.net - <http://www.obamasweapon.com/>

Todd Giffen

3921 Weston Way

Modesto, CA 95356

5039675202 – case@oregonstatehospital.net – <http://www.obamasweapon.com/>