

GARY B. RAGLE, JR.
6527 WHITE FEATHER ROAD
JOSHUA TREE, CA 92252
(760) 974-3027

JULY 30, 2014

TO: KATHLEEN WATTERSON

RE: WATTERSON -v- ARO


CASE NO: CIVMS 1300178

DATE OF PROCEEDINGS: JULY 9, 2014

ORIGINAL AND ONE COPY:

\$368.00

Thank
you,


"Gary E. Kaglfe, Jr.,
CSR No. 9848

SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT DISTRICT
MORONGO BASIN DIVISION, M4
COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA
HONORABLE FRANK GAFKOWSKI, JR., JUDGE PRESIDING

KATHLEEN WATTERSON,

Plaintiff,

vs.

No. CIVMS 130017!

MATTIE B. ARO,

Defendant.

JOSHUA TREE, CALIFORNIA

JULY 9, 2014 REPORTER'S

TRANSCRIPT OF ORAL PROCEEDINGS

APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiff: KATHLEEN WATTERSON,
In Propria Persona

For the Defendant RICHARD M. GHAN, ESQ.
55198 29-Palms Highway
Suite 1 Yucca Valley,
California

Reported by: Gary B. Ragle, Jr., CSR No. 984:

ORIGINAL

W-I-T-N-E-S-S I-N-D-E-X

FOR THE PLAINTIFF:	PAGE
WATTERSON, Kathleen	
Direct Testimony by Ms. Watterson	16
Cross-Examination by Mr. Ghan	37
McCANN, Levi	
Direct Examination by Ms. Watterson	58
Cross-Examination by Mr. Ghan	66
Redirect Examination by Ms. Watterson	72
Recross-Examination by Mr. Ghan	77
FOR THE DEFENSE:	PAGE
(None)	

1 JOSHUA TREE, CALIFORNIA, JULY 9, 2014; P.M. SESSION
2 MORONGO BASIN, EAST DESERT DISTRICT, DEPARTMENT M4
3 HONORABLE FRANK GAFKOWSKI, JR., JUDGE PRESIDING.

4

5 APPEARANCES:

6 The Defendant, MATTIE B. ARO, with his Counsel,
7 RICHARD M. GHAN, ESQ.; the Plaintiff, KATHLEEN
8 WATTERSON, in propria persona.

9 (Gary B. Ragle, Jr., Official Reporter, CSR No. 9848

10

11 THE COURT: We're here on the matter of Watterson and
12 Aro.

13 May we have appearances for the record, madam?

14 MS. WATTERSON: Here.

15 THE COURT: Yes, you your name, please?

16 MS. WATTERSON: Kathlene Watterson.

17 THE COURT: Good afternoon.

18 MR. GHAN: Good afternoon, your Honor. Richard Ghan
19 on behalf of Mr. Mattie Aro, who is the respondent in this
20 matter.

21 THE COURT: Good afternoon, sir.

22 MR. ARO: Good afternoon.

23 MR. GHAN: Your Honor, before we get started, just as
24 a bit of housekeeping, my client -- I know we're
25 approaching the hour for the court reporter. My client's
26 willing to waive the court reporter. He's not intending
27 to pay those fees.

28 THE COURT: Ms. Watterson?

1 Ninety-nine percent of what she did I'm okay with. I'm
2 not going to look at an expert the night before.

3 THE COURT: Counsel, what we're on the cusp of is if
4 she had been denied the opportunity for late filing, I'm
5 sure she would have asked for a continuance of the
6 trial.

7 MR.. GHAN: That's fine.

 THE COURT: Then let's continue the trial

9 MR. GHAN: Certainly.

10 THE COURT: - and let her have her day in court.

11 MR. GHAN: Okay.

12 MS. WATTERSON: Thank you.

13 THE COURT: Now, your expert, I apologize. I'm not
14 privy to any of these goings on.

15 MS. WATTERSON: So I can't use him now?

16 THE COURT: Well, we're going to give you a
17 continuance.

18 MS. WATTERSON: You know, I have people that have
19 come from San Diego, all over. And this is like, you
20 know, the this is our eighth time here.

21 THE COURT: Let us -

22 MS. WATTERSON: He has these guys are all local,
23 you know. And he gave - and I have it on tape where he
24 gave that permission.

25 THE COURT: All right. Let me ask this. How many
26 witnesses do you have?

27 MS. WATTERSON: Well, I can just • • all my witnesses?

28 THE COURT: How many?

 MS. WATTERSON: I have like seven, but I don't even

1 MS. WATTERSON: Yeah. You mean, the hour that he's
2 going to leave? Is that what you're saying?

3 THE COURT: In other words, will you pick up the
4 fees?

5 MS. WATTERSON: No.

6 THE CLERK: Is this going to be over an hour, do you
7 believe?

8 MR. GHAN: Yes.

9 THE CLERK: Do you have a fee waiver on file?

10 MS. WATTERSON: Yes.

11 THE CLERK: You do have a fee waiver?

12 MS. WATTERSON: Yes.

13 THE CLERK: Let me double-check.

14 She does, a filing fee waiver.

15 MR. GHAN: Does that waiver cover the court reporter
16 as well? My understanding in the previous hearing, your
17 Honor, is we had to have a specific order covering the
18 cost of the court reporter.

19 THE CLERK: Usually the fee waiver is granted on the
20 filing fees. Obviously it will be granted on the request
21 for

22 THE COURT: One moment.

23 The fee waiver, I think, suffices to cover the
24 cost of the reporter.

25 MR. GHAN: Excellent, your Honor. Just wanted to
26 make certain my client wasn't going to be charged.

27 THE COURT: Ms. Watterson, this is a matter seeking a
28 restraining order against harassment. From the nature of

1 your declaration --

2 MR. GHAN: Your Honor, I'm sorry to interrupt. I
3 would also move that any potential witnesses be excluded
4 from the courtroom.

5 THE COURT: Ms. Watterson, who will be your
6 witnesses?

7 MS. WATTERSON: I have them here, and everybody's
8 been sworn in except for two people --

9 THE COURT: Well, we'll have

10 MS. WATTERSON: • which just came from San Diego.

11 THE COURT: We'll have to ask them to step outside.

12 MS. WATTERSON: The two people?

13 MR. GHAN: Everyone.

14 THE COURT: Everybody that you wish to have
15 testify.

16 MS. WATTERSON: Okay. What about my expert witness?

17 THE COURT: Well, if he's your first witness, or if
18 you are the first witness, I think he can remain.

19 MS. WATTERSON: Make you first.

20 We're going to make him first then.

21 THE COURT: Very well. Call him as your witness.

22 Mr. Aro, do you have witnesses?

23 MR. GHAN: No, your Honor, not at this point.

24 Your Honor, I would still ask that the expert be
25 excluded pending another motion when she officially calls
26 him.

27 MS. WATTERSON: Levi McCann is my expert witness.

28 MR. GHAN: Your Honor, just for the record, when we

29 were here last time, this matter was continued
30 specifically as directed from the bench for Ms. Watterson
31 to present to me an informal list of any expert witnesses
32 and a summary of what their testimony was going to be.
33 Now, I will say that Ms. Watterson presented me a binder
34 worth of information in accordance with your instructions,
35 and I appreciate that. But your Honor must know that the
36 expert information was dropped off at my office last night
37 at 4:29 p.m.

10 If you'll recall, I was in court here yesterday
11 and did not get back to my office until this morning. So
12 whatever expert reports and/or information regarding his
13 qualifications, I haven't had a chance to consult with my
14 client, to consult with any other potential witnesses.
15 The entire purpose of this continuance was so she could
16 give me as informally as necessary - I'm not objecting to
17 the format, but the timing, last night at 4:30, means that
18 that witness I object to, and he should not be allowed to
19 testify.

20 MS. WATTERSON: We called on •

21 THE COURT: Go ahead.

22 MS. WATTERSON: We called on the 23rd. We both had
23 really bad colds. We had just gotten back from Northern
24 California. And you can still kind of here hear it. They
25 were really bad colds.

26 And I called his office, and I actually taped
27 it. I called his office. Levi is my witness. And he •
28 and his secretary called him and called us back and said

29 as long as it was there by the 9th. We made sure that it
30 was there by 5 o'clock yesterday just to make sure, so we
31 wouldn't have this problem. And I do have that on tape.
32 I'd have to get it. But Levi is my witness. And that's
33 exactly what happened. And I was grateful because we were
34 really sick.

7 MR. GHAN: Your Honor, even if my assistant is trying
8 to be accommodating, it --

9 MS. WATTERSON: She said she talked to you.

10 MR. GHAN: Even if my assistant is trying to be
11 accommodating, there is zero chance when we continued this
12 for the expert information, that it can be given to me at
13 4:30 and I can prepare for a hearing today.

14 I don't care if she's out of state. There is
15 zero chance that my assistant talked to me about that,
16 because the whole purpose of the continuation was so I
17 could get the information from the expert, give it to my
18 client, who himself is an expert, and then he can direct
19 me who to talk to about that.

20 The rest of her witnesses and the rest of the
21 information that she submitted I don't object to in terms
22 of timing, but I still object to Mr. Levi J. McCann,
23 because it was brought to my office at 4:30 last night.

24 MS. WATTERSON: I specifically asked your assistant,
25 I said, "And you spoke to Mr. Ghan?" And she said, "Yes,
26 I did."

27 MR. GHAN: Your Honor, it doesn't matter. You made
28 an order. You made a ruling. It wasn't complied with.

1 have to call them. I really only need Levi.

2 THE COURT: You mean your expert?

3 MS. WATTERSON: Yes.

4 MR. MCCANN: Also, your Honor, if I may

5 MR. GHAN: I object, your Honor. A witness can't
6 address the Court when we're having an argument
7 regarding --

8 THE COURT: Mr. Ghan, I'm controlling this.

9 MR. GHAN: I'm just objecting. You can •

10 THE COURT: Then just say, I object.

11 MR. GHAN: I object, your Honor.

12 THE COURT: Is this gentleman your first witness?

13 MS. WATTERSON: Yes.

14 THE COURT: Sir, step forward.

15 May I have your name, please?

16 MR. MCCANN: Levi Johnathan McCann.

17 THE COURT: McCann?

18 MR. MCCANN: McCann.

19 THE COURT: Mr. McCann, what was your concern?

20 MR. MCCANN: When • I was actually privy to the
21 telephone call that was made to Mr. Ghan's office. And we
22 had already done all the data testing, and we had had the
23 information ready and the report ready to go at that time
24 when we called Mr. Ghan. Unfortunately, due to the fact
25 that we both had to go up to Northern California and both
26 caught a cold – both caught a cold when we were up in
27 Northern California, Mr. Ghan was actually contacted by
28 his assistant, which I was a witness to, and Mr. Ghan told

29 his assistant that as long as we brought the report and
30 the filing and everything that we had before the 9th to
31 his office, that there would not be any problems with the
32 report, even though we had it ready on the date that I
33 have on there, which is the June 20th date. We

6 THE COURT: Why wasn't the report forwarded at that
7 time?

8 MR. MCCANN: That's - we literally were - we were
9 both sick for over a week.

10 MS. WATTERSON: We had like pneumonia.

11 MR. MCCANN: And we don't live near each other or
12 anything, but when we were in Northern California, we both
13 caught a cold, and we were --

14 MS. WATTERSON: You can still hear it.

15 MR. MCCANN: We were both literally at our own homes
16 recovering from a cold from - mine was nine days long,
17 so •

18 MS. WATTERSON: And so we • • and we were so grateful
19 to Mr. Ghan for giving us that time. And so then we did
20 get a chance to, you know, just make it better.

21 THE COURT: One moment.

22 MS. WATTERSON: And I have that on tape.

23 THE COURT: All right. One moment.

24 MR. GHAN: Your Honor, I'd like to say, just for the
25 record, you don't ever have attorneys coming in here
26 saying I strictly held them to dates. I routinely
27 instruct my office to accept materials as long as I have
28 time to review them.

1 You've just heard them testify that on June 20th
2 this was prepared, and they were sick. So unless they've
3 been sick for 20 days and got over it miraculously
4 yesterday, there is no reason this should not have been
5 brought to my office as soon as they were healthy so that
6 I could review it in time for this hearing. That's the
7 substance of what takes place.

8 They are sitting here saying, oh, Mr. Ghan's
9 assistant says as long as we get it here by the 9th, when
10 they fully know I can't review it and do anything. And I
11 said all these same things on the record last time we were
12 in court.

13 MS. WATTERSON: I object to that. First of all,
14 we I am just getting over it now. We had like
15 pneumonia. That's number one. Number two, we have
16 actually more evidence now. We are -- because we were
17 ill, we felt that our report wasn't as good as it could be
18 and so we just made it better.

19 Now, I have it on tape where I specifically
20 asked your assistant, "Did you speak to Mr. Ghan and he
21 said it was already -- it was all right to have it in by
22 the 9th?" And she said, "Yes." And both of us heard
23 that, and I have it on tape. I don't have it on me
24 because I didn't think I'd have this problem.

25 Now, you can read, you can. There's

26 MR. GHAN: Your Honor, will you instruct her to
27 direct her testimony to the Court? And I essentially
28 haven't said a thing that she said is inaccurate. All

1 I've said is -

2 THE COURT: Don't repeat yourself, sir.

3 Ms. Watterson, what is the length of that
4 report?

5 MS. WATTERSON: Not very long, lengthy at all.

6 THE COURT: How many pages?

7 MS. WATTERSON: Five pages.

8 MR. GHAN: Your Honor, there's a video here.

9 MS. WATTERSON: But it's

10 MR. GHAN: Plus

11 MS. WATTERSON: It's all the same videos. It's just
12 more videos that I can show you right now, and so it's
13 kind of repetitive. But it's just the report that anybody
14 can read and like four pictures. It's not this big,
15 gigantic thing.

16 THE COURT: May I see the report?

17 MR. GHAN: This is everything that was handed to my
18 office last night, and I'll hand it to you the way it was
19 given to me.

20 MS. WATTERSON: And it was sent to be on the 9th, not
21 earlier, when I talked to her.

22 THE COURT: I'm going to return these to Mr. Ghan.

23 MR. GHAN: Thank you, your Honor.

24 MS. WATTERSON: I can actually just having Levi as
25 a witness. The other people were just for verification
26 that they are victims also, but Levi can prove
27 conclusively that this is happening.

28 THE COURT: Well, I'm going to do this, sort of an

1 evidentiary compromise, not compromise of the evidence but
the way we're going to proceed. I'm going to overrule Mr.
3 Ghan's objection. I'm going to let this gentleman
4 testify. I looked at the length of the report. And then
5 it may be that Mr. Ghan will have to cross-examine
6 Mr. McCann on another day because we're obviously going to
get embroiled in probably a very lengthy
8 cross-examination.

9 MR. GHAN: Your Honor, might I suggest that

10 THE COURT: So basically what we're doing is just
11 taking a deposition.

12 MR. GHAN: I have no problem.

13 What I suggest is I can cross-examine him based
14 upon his testimony. At the conclusion of the hearing, if
15 I feel that I need my own expert, I would just ask that we
16 continue it to whatever the next available day is and
17 I will bring in my own expert. If I feel we don't need
18 it, we'll wrap it up. I think that's a fair compromise
19 that I can live with if you would entertain.

20 THE COURT: The Court will entertain that.

21 MS. WATTERSON: Sir, why, at the very end of this
22 this is the eighth time — does he now need an expert to
23 bring in? Because when I have my witnesses, they come
24 from like all over.

25 THE COURT: Ma'am, this is the way trial proceedings
26 work.

27 MS. WATTERSON: Okay.

28 THE COURT: So I'm going to permit your witness to

1 testify --

2 MS. WATTERSON: Thank you.

3 THE COURT: • completely as he wishes, as you
4 project the questions and so forth. It's going to be up
5 to you to let him testify, but you're supposed to ask
6 questions.

7 MS. WATTERSON: Okay.

8 THE COURT: Not just let him ramble on. That's
9 called narration.

10 Now, we'll proceed and we'll hear Mr. McCann.
11 And he may, of course, take his materials and so forth with
12 with him to the stand.

13 MS. WATTERSON: We do have one little problem. This
14 is a brand-new computer, and I guess you guys don't have
15 the right -- like the new stuff so we have to put this up
16 here. And we're going to change places so you can see it
17 up there, but --

18 THE COURT: Well, Mr. McCann, will you be testifying
19 from where you are there?

20 MR. GHAN: Your Honor, I would ask that we do it from
21 the witness stand. Wherever is fine with me.

22 MS. WATTERSON: The problem is he is a computer
23 expert, and you don't have the proper this is a
24 brand-new computer, and we don't

25 THE COURT: But is this going to be a demonstration
26 or is this --

27 MS. WATTERSON: It's going to be both.

28 MR. McCann: The thing is that the pictures and the

1 video, all they do is corroborate what

2 THE COURT: Well, they explain what's happening.

3 MR. MCCANN: Exactly.

4 THE COURT: You may do that, sir, but I think you
5 probably — if you can do it from the witness stand, that
6 would be appreciated, if you can.

7 MR. GHAN: Thank you, your Honor.

8 MR. MCCANN: Let's see if

9 MS. WATTERSON: You're relying on me to do the
10 computer stuff?

11 THE COURT: Ma'am, please, we don't need the
12 transcript.

13 MS. WATTERSON: Okay.

14 MR. MCCANN: I don't need everything that was
15 submitted. A few pictures will be fine. That will work,
16 I think.

17 THE COURT: But I really do think we need a
18 foundation —

19 MR. GHAN: Absolutely.

20 THE COURT: • what the disturbance was. And I think
21 it's necessary, Ms. Watterson, for you to testify as to
22 why you brought this proceeding, what you were suffering,
23 so forth and so on, how you try to live with it, and when
24 you made a complaint and to whom, and whether these issues
25 continue. So I think you need to testify first, Ms.
26 Watterson.

27 MS. WATTERSON: Okay. That's no problem.

28 THE COURT: Please.

1 MS. WATTERSON: Thank you.

MR. GHAN: Your Honor, before she testifies, I would
3 ask, then, that Mr. McCann be excluded. He's a witness.

4 MR. MCCANN: That is perfectly okay. I'll step
5 outside.

6 THE COURT: Okay.

7 MS. WATTERSON: This has been going on •

8 THE COURT: Excuse me.

9 Mr. McCann?

10 MR. MCCANN: Yes.

11 THE COURT: Well, Ms. Watterson, how long has this
12 been going on, and it is still going on?

13 MS. WATTERSON: It is still going on. And it's
14 and I'm in fear for my life.

15 THE COURT: Ma'am, please.

16 MS. WATTERSON: Okay.

17 THE COURT: Mr. McCann?

18 MR. MCCANN: Yes, sir.

19 THE COURT: In the course of your investigation in
20 this matter, did you become witness to what Ms. Watterson
21 is going to testify to?

22 MR. MCCANN: Yes, I did. And as a matter of fact

23 THE COURT: I'm going to ask you to step out.

24 MR. MCCANN: Okay.

25 (The witness left the courtroom.)

26 THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Watterson.

27 MS. WATTERSON: Okay. I feel he is totally obsessed
28 with me.

MR. GHAN: Objection, your Honor. Her speculations
aren't relevant testimony.

THE COURT: You have to explain
that, ma'am.

MS. WAITERS ON: Okay.

THE COURT: Well, ma'am, and I think also, in
fairness to Mr. Ghan, would you please take the stand.

MS. WATTERSON: Me?

THE COURT: Yes, you.

MS. WATTERSON: Okay.

MR. GHAN: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: -I think it makes a more proper
presentation. Take your notes, whatever you need.

MS. WATTERSON: Okay. First of all, I'll leave these
right here. These are books that I've been keeping track of
everything that's happened to me

THE COURT: Well, you can testify about

MS. WATTERSON: - by dates but I don't want to lug them
up there, so --

KATHLEEN WATTERSON,

the Plaintiff herein, testifying on her own behalf, having
been previously sworn, testified as follows:

THE COURT: Start out with where you live, the nature of
your property, what you know about Mr. Aro, where he lives. Give
us a little bit of geography lesson about the plot and the places
of the people and give us something

1 about what happened.

2

3

DIRECT TESTIMONY

4 BY MS. WATTERSON:

5 Okay. Well, I live at 19

6 THE COURT: Into the microphone.

7 MS. WATTERSON: I live at 1967 Sunset Mesa. I live
8 on five acres. The only other house everybody has
9 acreage up out there. There's only one house behind me,
10 and I do have a witness that can testify to that, but his
11 wife has --

12 MR. GHAN: Objection, your Honor, as to what another
13 witness might testify to.

14 MS. WATTERSON: Anyway, so -

15 THE COURT: Objection -

16 MS. WATTERSON: - Aro lives on the next street,
17 which is Gibraltar, and up a little bit.

18 And so suddenly I started feeling hot and then
19 my

20 THE COURT: How far is his house from your house?

21 MS. WATTERSON: I would say 30 acres maybe.

22 THE COURT: Well, do you have a distance, maybe 100
23 yards, 200 yards?

24 MS. WATTERSON: No, it's farther than that. Say,
25 four football fields.

26 THE COURT: Okay. That's about 1,200. Let's see.

27 MS. WATTERSON: I could be wrong.

28 THE COURT: That's 400 yards. And are there other

1 houses between you and his?

2 MS. WATTERSON: There's a straight there's a
3 direct line from his house to my house.

4 THE COURT: No interference? If you were to shoot a
5 bullet, you could go between the properties?

6 MS. WATTERSON: I believe so, yes.

7 THE COURT: Continue.

8 MS. WATTERSON: And so this is called - I'm called a
9 targeted individual, and there is many, many of us.

10 MR. GHAN: Objection, your Honor.

11 MS. WATTERSON: Okay. I'm just trying to give the
12 thing.

13 THE COURT: Explain.

14 MS. WATTERSON: And so what I mean but this is they
15 make every day a bad day.

16 MR. GHAN: Objection, your Honor, as to "they" and
17 as

18 MS. WATTERSON: Okay. I feel that Mr. Aro has made
19 every - since - I have paperwork from June 2010, and it
20 actually started before then. I've had car tampering, and
21 I have pictures of that, where my antifreeze, the thing
22 that

23 THE COURT: Please, that's not relevant to this.
24 We're talking about -

25 MS. WATTERSON: Harassment.

26 THE COURT: All right. How do you know that Mr. Aro
27 is involved in that at all?

28 MS. WATTERSON: Well, I don't know. But do you

1 houses between you and his?

2 MS. WATTERSON: There's a straight t here's a
3 direct line from his house to my house.

4 THE COURT: No interference? If you we :e to shoot a
5 bullet, you could go between the properties?

6 MS. WATTERSON: I believe so, yes.

7 THE COURT: Continue.

8 MS. WATTERSON: And so this is called - I'm called a
9 targeted individual, and there is many, many of us.

10 MR. GHAN: Objection, your Honor.

11 MS. WATTERSON: Okay. I'm just trying o give the
12 thing.

13 THE COURT: Explain.

14 MS. WATTERSON: And so what I mean but :his is they
15 make every day a bad day.

16 MR. GHAN: Objection, your Honor, as to 'they¹¹ and
17 as

18 MS. WATTERSON: Okay. I feel that Mr. \ro has made
19 every since -- I have paperwork from June 2010, and it
20 actually started before then. I've had car :ampering, and
21 I have pictures of that, where my antifreeze the thing
22 that

23 THE COURT: Please, that's not relevant to this.
24 We're talking about -

25 MS. WATTERSON: Harassment.

26 THE COURT: All right. How do you know that Mr. Aro
27 is involved in that at all?

28 MS. WATTERSON: Well, I don't know. Bu ~ do you

<p>1 want if you want to know specifically wha 2 done, he is a ham operator. He's a licensed 3 But I went over to his house because I track 4 MR. GHAN: Objection as to time, your H 5 THE COURT: Sustained. 6 Tell us when you started feeling t 7 became an issue with you about your comfort? 8 that start? What was 9 MS. WATTERSON: That started before Jun 10 THE COURT: All right. And what did yo 11 MS. WATTERSON: It started with vibrati 12 couldn't figure out what it was. I didn't k: 13 was. I just knew that it kept me up at nigh 14 couldn't go to sleep. 15 THE COURT: What was vibrating? 16 MS. WATTERSON: Mostly my bed. But it 17 you can -- 18 MR. GHAN: Objection, your Honor, as to 19 as to "it turns out." 20 THE COURT: Sustained. 21 MS. WATTERSON: That's what Levi's goin 22 you. 23 THE COURT: Ma'am, I want you to tell m 24 bed, and my bed starts shaking and I start h 25 walls creak. I want you to -- 26 MS. WATTERSON: That's what I'm trying 27 THE COURT: I want you to tell me what 28 experiencing that causes you to bring this m</p>	<p>, Mr. Aro has ham operator. id - mor . ie matter that When did j 2010. i -- >ns . And I low what it ; and I urns out that speculation • to prove to I 'm in aring the -- fou are atter .</p>
---	--

1 MS. WATTERSON: Okay. Well, as well as
 2 vibrations, right, I don't know - it progre
 3 point where I get so hot, I feel like I'm be the ssed to
 4 being cooked from the inside out. He target the
 5 with -- ng I'm 3

6 MR. GHAN: Objection, your Honor. She my breasts
 7 any evidence and has not testified that he,
 8 done anything. In fact, she answered your loesn't have
 q- ny client, has
 9 I don't know that it's him. :estion, said

10 MS. WATTERSON: Well, that is on the ca
 11 I'm going to prove -- : tampering.

12 THE COURT: Ma'am, please.

13 MS. WATTERSON: Okay.

14 THE COURT: You're not helping yourself

15 MS. WATTERSON: Okay.

16 THE COURT: Just listen. I can't be yo"
 17 lead you through this. You've chose to be y ir attorney to
 18 attorney.)ur own

19 Now, what is it that you're experi

20 MS. WATTERSON: Okay. I have a list he ncing?

21 THE COURT: Go through it, and when. e.

22 MS. WATTERSON: Well, in November I los"
 23 the Better Business Bureau, which I had had my job with
 24 because my phones were shut down by, I belie¹ for 20 years,

25 MR. GHAN: Objection, your Honor, as to re --

26 MS. WATTERSON: This relevance.

27 THE COURT: Ma'am - s my

28 MS. WATTERSON: My computer -- well, it

29 computer. I have hundreds of shut-downs my computer,
frojn aead. I have
30 I have memory lapse. I have boring into my luse of this.
31 multiple sclerosis and it has progressed bee
32 I've had hair loss. I've had -- have to :

5 MR. GHAN: Your Honor, for the record, obiems.
6 object whenever she affixes a cause to her p
7 She's not in a position to testify an expert

8 MS. WATTERSON: Well, that's why I have
9 witness. physical

10 THE COURT: Sir, she can testify to the
11 reactions --

12 MR. GHAN: Yes.

13 THE COURT: to something.

14 MR. GHAN: Yes.

15 THE COURT: And we don't know what it i 3 yet.

16 MR. GHAN: Correct. That is why I'm - i up in Mr

17 THE COURT: But she believes it will en she can
18 Aro's lap, but we don't know that yet. But she' s

19 certainly testify to the physical sensations
20 feeling.

21 MS. WATTERSON: Thank you.

22 THE COURT: We need to have a timing of this and how
23 often.

24 MS. WATTERSON: Every single day. I me an, it's every
25 single night and in different ways. It's ac :ually
26 progressed, and so now I think there's actua lly more than
27 one technology being used, but that's just m . But we can
28 prove -

1 THE COURT: Ma'am, tell me the feelings that you're
2 getting.

3 MS. WATTERSON Chronic fatigue, sleep d jprava - you
4 know what I mean, sleep depravation. There¹ > constant
5 noise campaigns. My phone calls have been h .jacked. They
6 made an effort to wreck my credit.

7 THE COURT: Now, wait a minute.

8 MS. WATTERSON: Yeah, I know, I know it sounds
9 insane. It does.

10 THE COURT: Well, the thing is, are the r getting into
11 your computer and hacking?

12 MS. WATTERSON: Yes, and I can show you hundreds of
13 computer shut-downs. And the reason I know t ' s just not,
14 say, like a dropped cell phone call, right? is because it
15 started chronically when - I used to play P ublishers
16 Clearing House, and they have all these game and you win
17 the money. It would be shut down every sing .e night when
18 I played that just to show me that that t ley could -

19 THE COURT: What about the rest of your TV?

20 MS. WATTERSON: Absolutely, total inter "erence, and I
21 have a video of that.

22 THE COURT: What kind of interference?

23 MS. WATTERSON: I have • to the point \rhere I have
24 video where you can put -- I'm cooking. You see it where
25 I 'm trying to click it on and off, and it wo 't go off,
26 and it makes the lines, the --

27 MR. GHAN: Objection, your Honor. I've got to
28 object. This is beyond the scope of the mov ng papers .

1 If you look at the paperwork she filed, half of these 12
things she just itemized have not been on the i
listed the person

3 moving papers. Page 3 of 6, item 3, "How di
4 in No. 2 harass you?"

5 THE COURT: Well, she says sleep depravation, noise
6 campaign.

7 MR. GHAN: Correct, those are the ones :hat she' s
8 listed that she's also wrote. But whatever she meant by
9 "total interference and computer hijacking

10 THE COURT: She's got "burned face."

11 MR. GHAN: "Wrecked my credit."

12 MS. WATTERSON: It's not wrecked. be causation

13 THE COURT: Well, Counsel, there has to
14 for that. We haven't gotten that into.

15 MR. GHAN: Okay.

16 THE COURT: But I'm going to let her tr to explain)
17 the physical sensations that is attributed t Mr. Aro.

18 Please continue, ma'am.

19 MS. WATTERSON: And like, that's right, constant and,
20 noise, changing my address with like Verizon like I calls
21 said, Publishers Clearing House. I've gotte from you
22 Wells Fargo, my bank, saying, "We understand changed n
23 your address," when I didn't. Just attacks every
24 single way.

25 THE COURT: How are you connecting to y ur bank, what
26 means?

27 MS. WATTERSON: Well, I don't I can' even go

28 online anymore.

1 THE COURT: No, were you using online
2 MS. WATTERSON: I was using online, and then I went
3 online and I changed • and I went and chang *d my password
4 twice at Wells Fargo. I still couldn't get .n. I don't
5 even try anymore because I'm afraid, you knoi }f they are
6 going to get my money, you know.
7 THE COURT: Are you saying that it affe< ;ts
8 electronics in -
9 MS. WATTERSON: Totally, yeah. I have mndreds of
10 computer shut-downs.
11 THE COURT: How often does that
12 MS. WATTERSON: It's not as much lately but it
13 used -- it has been as much as 20 times a da f. I believe
14 there's if you look in this, on the - we re talking
15 about the interference, if you look on - ju st on where it
16 says, "knowing is half the battle.com," and _hen you go a
17 couple pages, see -
18 MR. GHAN: Objection, your Honor. She' not
19 testifying from her own personal experience low.
20 MS. WATTERSON: This is my own personal -- I have a
21 picture of it.
22 THE COURT: Ma'am, we want to know •
23 MS. WATTERSON: Okay.
24 THE COURT: • what is the discomfort t lat you're
25 feeling supposedly caused by Mr. Aro.
26 MS. WATTERSON: Well, he can -
27 THE COURT: Discomfort
28 MS. WATTERSON: - house. He can see i i my bathroom.

1 And when this first started happening, I used to hear d
 2 off - when I go into the bathroom and I wou start ng
 3 taking a shower, I could hear off-roaders go over to
 4 his house, you know, for the show, you And you
 know. beca ise you can
 5 know, I can't have a phone conversation
 6 hear clicks all the time. om San Diego

And that's why these people come f same
 8 and everywhere, because they are having thes
 9 experience.

10 MR. GHAN: Objection, your Honor.

11 MS. WATTERSON: And he's hitting me wit

12 THE COURT: Objection's overruled.

13 MS. WATTERSON: He's hitting me with di ected-energy
 14 weapons, primarily microwave, which we will e able to
 15 prove. And Levi will be able to --

16 THE COURT: Ma'am, you're going beyond •jhat
 17 believe that you have the knowledge about. you'ow
 —

18 MS. WATTERSON: Okay. Well •

19 THE COURT: I want you to tell me why i is so
 20 uncomfortable to live in your home in proxim ty to Mr.
 21 Aro.

22 MS. WATTERSON: My dogs' faces turn whi e overnight,
 23 you know, so I feel that and I've seen th y get hit.
 24 There's a constant motor sound, not so much ow. And when
 25 it goes • and when it goes up, when I can h ar it, I n't
 26 don't feel the vibrations so much. When I c hear it,
 27 I feel the vibrations. And in all honesty,

28 heard it as much lately.

<p>1 THE COURT: Now, when you talk about vi 2 do you mean? Are these physical to the hous 3 MS. WATTERSON: No. 4 THE COURT: • dishes rattling? 5 MS. WATTERSON: No. 6 THE COURT: or 7 MS. WATTERSON: There's there's many 8 getting into this now, exactly what's doing 9 he's using like infrared, infrared • 10 MR. GHAN: Objection. Speculation. 11 THE COURT: Ma'am - 12 MS. WATTERSON: Okay. 13 THE COURT: • we're talking about you 14 MS. WATTERSON: I'm talking about my bo< 15 THE COURT: All right. Very well. Wha 16 your physical being that 17 MS. WATTERSON: It actually vibrates my 18 supposedly it's a thalamus in the brain that 19 MR. GHAN: Objection, your Honor. She < 20 any expertise to talk about the thalamus in 21 MS. WATTERSON: So you can't sleep. Th 22 sleep depravation comes in. 23 THE COURT: What is it that doesn't per: 2 sleep? What are you feeling? Are you feeli 4 25 MS. WATTERSON: I'm feeling like your b 26 vibrating. That's what it feels like. And 27 really, really -- and around 10 o'clock ever 28 like my body can't handle it anymore, and I</p>	<p>3 rations, what 5, like -- we're just :hat. And if explaining iy. : is it about body . And make .oesn't have he brain. at's where the ait you to ig Ddy is hen you get j night, it's jet so hot</p>
---	---

1 that I feel like I'm - you know, and it's n menopause
or anything. I'm way over that. I'm 65. Y DU know, I'm
just hot, and I feel like, you know - and t lis is a real
4 thing, and no one can say that's it's not an /•more because
5 we have proof now.

6 THE COURT: What you basically have sai i it affected
7 the electronics in your home.

8 MS. WATTERSON: Right.

9 THE COURT: TV.

10 MS. WATTERSON: TV, my surveillance. I have waves,
11 thousands of waves coming into my on the surveillance,
12 coming in for you know, thousands of them every single
13 night.

14 THE COURT: When you say, "surveillance ¹ are you
15 talking about a surveillance -

16 MS. WATTERSON: I have a surveillance s Astern.

17 THE COURT: All right. What do you see on -Dining in,

18 MS. WATTERSON: I see different waves c and

19 I have pictures of that. And -

20 THE COURT: Are they being recorded lik

21 MS. WATTERSON: Yes.

22 THE COURT: Like if you were to take a film and you
23 see waves, what kind of waves are they? Des their
24 appearance.

25 MS. WATTERSON: They are and I have -hem right se.

26 here. They are just waves going into my hou Now, and id

27 I actually like it when he does the waves, a I'll tell

28 you why: because the vibration isn't -- he's occupied

1 doing that, so he's not like • -he's got ano
2 that I don't know what it is that hits my he
3 hurts .

:.her thing
id, and it

4 You know, the vibrations don't rea
5 They just keep you up. But now there's a nei
6 he hits your head --

.ly hurt.
sf weapon that

7 MR. GHAN: Objection as to "weapon," yo

ir Honor .

8 THE COURT: The use of the word "weapon

', ma'am -

9 MS. WATTERSON: Okay. Sorry. Well, wh
10 whatever frequency. You know, we're all fre
11 There's good frequencies and bad frequencies

atever wave,
juencies .

12 And when I went over to his house,
13 tracked it down over there -

because I

1 MR. GHAN: Objection.

4
15 THE COURT: I think the objection shoul
16 sustained.

i be

17 You went to visit Mr. Aro?

18 MS. WATTERSON: I did.

19 THE COURT: Now, what did you see or fe

31?

20 MS. WATTERSON: Well, first I saw all t
21 know, 11 antennas, and so - and I said, "Oh
22 this?" And he said, "Well that's a microwav
23 measuring microwave frequencies." And I sai

le -- you
what ' s
3. I 'm
I, "Oh."

2 And I had a friend with me. "Have you ever
4
25 adapting a microwave?" And he goes, "Oh, ye
26 how they do it," da, da, da, da, da. And s
27 and those -- just adapting a small microwave
28 hundreds of yards.

learned of
ah, this is
i) I said •
can go

MR. GHAN: Objectio

1, your Honor.

1 She's not an expert as to what microwave adaptations can
2 do. proposed to be
3 THE COURT: Well • symptoms . *?ent
4 MR. GHAN: My understanding is she's su over lnting
5 explaining the physical harassment or other out
6 THE COURT: Well, that's true, but she , so I
7 there to talk to him about it, and he was po oy this . I
8 certain things that he had. I'll permit tha that he was
9 MS. WATTERSON: Thank you. And so, any re's nothing
10 said, "Well, you know, I'm getting targeted
11 can feel it," da, da, da, da. So then -- 3, "knows ." 9
12 THE COURT: What did he say, if anythin explain that
13 MS. WATTERSON: He just explained to me
14 measuring microwaves. I since know that the tor. And he
15 really there's no cell towers out there. out . That's
16 MR. GHAN: Objection as to what she say her
17 THE COURT: Sustained. What else did h
18 he was doing, if anything?
19 MS. WATTERSON: That he was a ham opera
20 was very polite, very secretive going in and
21 MR. GHAN: Objection as to "secretive."
22 opinion.
23 MS. WATTERSON: Well •
24 THE COURT: Sustained.
25 MS. WATTERSON: I have • I have a pers on that will
26 tell you, and I have it in writing, and --
27 THE COURT: Ma'am, excuse me. /ing to get
We're tr discomfort is caused
28 right down to the basics of what your

1 by. Now, I can appreciate the fact that per laps something
 2 caused you to feel heat, something caused yo i to perhaps-
 3 tingle or something like that. I can apprec Late that.
 4 What it's due to remains to be seen in this ourt.

5 But what else is it that I mean **and also**
 6 affecting your electronics. I can appreciat **5 that. It**
 7 doesn't take a space scientist to understand **that**
 8 electronics can cause all kinds of interfere **ice.**

9 MS. WATTERSON: The •

10 THE COURT: What else?

11 MS. WATTERSON: The bottom line is this There's no
 12 microwave frequencies really to be there' > no cell
 13 towers out there.

14 THE COURT: Ma'am, that's going to be M ". McCann's

15 MS. WATTERSON: Okay. So what am I fee Ling? A
 16 feeling that he's always watching me. He's learing every
 17 conversation. I can hear clicks on the phon I feel
 18 like I'm being seen when I'm writing on my c >mputer. I
 19 feel like he's trying to give me breast cane r.

20 THE COURT: Well, ma'am, now, I'm going to -- you
 21 know, at this point you're speculating as to what he's
 22 trying to do.

23 MS. WATTERSON: Well, he can hear what say, and he
 24 knows both my mother and -

25 THE COURT: How do you know he can hear what you say?

26 MS. WATTERSON: Because I know • I can go -

27 THE COURT: For example, if you're pick ng up the
 28 phone and you're not getting a dial tone or ou're getting

1 some sort of buzzing or interference, we can
 2 that's a physical thing that's happening. W
 3 what its cause might be. Your microwave ove
 4 or your TV can be scrambling and what have y
 5 know that there can be some kind of interfer
 6 what's causing that and so forth remains to

appreciate
 5 don't know
 i in the home
)u, and we
 mce. Now,
 >e seen.

7 But your physical being is very im
 8 you've explained that.

>ortant. So

9 MS. WATTERSON: Nausea.

10 THE COURT: All right. What else?

11 MS. WATTERSON: Diarrhea, just a feelin
 12 instance, the night before I came to court 1;
 13 could hardly put everything together because
 14 much RF energy

j of for
 ist time, I
 he had so

15 MR. GHAN: Objection as to "he" again.

16 MS. WATTERSON: Whoever.

17 THE COURT: What was it that caused you
 18 difficulty the night before court?

to have

19 MS. WATTERSON: There was so much energ;
 20 my house, which I do have pictures you ca:
 21 energy on the surveillance, right? - that I
 22 ill. I couldn't I had to go to bed and g
 23 finish putting my binder together for everyb
 24 couldn't finish it I was so ill.

coming into
 see the
 was literally
 t up early to
 dy because I

25 It's a sickness. It's called EMF
 26 causes cancer. It's --

ickness. It

27 MR. GHAN: Objection as to this testimo

y-

28 MS. WATTERSON: Well, I have document at

on on that. .

1 THE COURT: Ma'am, have you been treated
2 that?

3 MS. WATTERSON: No. I have a letter from my doctor,
4 saying - Dr. Young, saying that whatever is causing

5 MR. GHAN: Objection, your Honor, as to
6 what the contents of of letter is. testifying

7 THE COURT: Sustained. You can't testify
8 doctor - [fy to the sre,

9 MS. WATTERSON: There is a letter in the
10 that says - though,

11 THE COURT: Be that as it may, anything
12 your physical sensations our your environmen further about

13 MS. WATTERSON: Well, I have • - when he in the home?
14 started, and this isn't happening now, becau first

15 MR. GHAN: Objection as to he's doing a 36 --

16 MS. WATTERSON: Okay. Any lything.

17 THE COURT: Mr. Ghan •

18 MS. WATTERSON: In the beginnings, in t
19 beginning

20 THE COURT: When is that?

21 MS. WATTERSON: Before, in around June 2010.

22 THE COURT: Okay.

23 MS. WATTERSON: When it wasn't as - wh
24 tech -- I think there's more than one techno only one
25 I'm not an expert on that. It could be more .ogy now, but
26 technology, and I believe it's microwaves. refined

27 MR. GHAN: Objection as to her speculat
28 is not relevant. ion and belief

1 MS. WATTERSON: Well, I -

2 THE COURT: Well, Counsel, I appreciate
3 Court is weighing and granting the opportuni
4 explore here, see what her discomfort is.

5 Go ahead.

6 MS. WATTERSON: Directly from his house
7 his house a plant has died, a plant on this
8 of paradise, and they are pretty tough. The
9 here and plant here. This side died and thi
10 because that's where the wave was coming in.

11 MR. GHAN: Objection as to •

12 THE COURT: Well, something caused thos plants to
13 die.

14 MR. GHAN: Fair enough.

15 MS. WATTERSON: Just that part of
16 them,

16 plant. Just the -- you know how a bird of

17 THE COURT: Are you talking about
18 slice

18 MS. WATTERSON: Right. You know how
19 th

19 This part died, and the other one, this
20 part

20 died. And that's happened to other friends
21 And it's the energy coming in. And now,

21 tha

22 because it's progressed to different
things,

that. The
:y to try to

in line of
side, a bird
:e is a plant
> side died,

plants to

not the whole
paradise is? 5

of

y go out?

here, that f
mine, too.

happened but
that was

there's some
lately. I

ery time I

off-roader,

e, it doesn't

23 in the •-- in the very beginning.

24 Every time I walk out of my house,
25 kind of noise campaign. And it's not as muai
26 have to be honest there. But for a while, e
27 would walk out of my house, there would be a:
28 some kind of noise trigger. And after a whi

1 take --

2 THE COURT: Are these actual people tha
3 driving their bikes around or is it just a n
4 sounds like that?

you can see
oise that

5 MS. WATTERSON: Both. Sometimes you ca
6 the bikers, and it's always the same one. I
7 so - and that's how I know he can see me is
8 know, I walk out of my house, somebody can s
9 outside of my house. They get on the cell p:
10 a friend, and there they go.

actually see
t's -- and
because, you
e me walking
lone and call

11 THE COURT: Ma'am, is Mr. Aro riding on
12 bikes?

3 of these

13 MS. WATTERSON: No, but he is - you kn
14 one that is the master of this kind of thing

DW, he is the
And -

15 THE COURT: Well, ma'am -

16 MS. WATTERSON: Your honor, this all

17 MR. GHAN: Objection.

18 THE COURT: Court strikes that.

19 MS. WATTERSON: Okay. Well, this all s
20 I helped get the off-road ordinance through
21 that put me in a very bad - and it's a big
22 place out there. We're seven minutes away f
23 largest off-roading place in the world, and
24 just scream around. You can hear one of tho
25 away.

barted because
there, so -
roading rom
the they used
to se a mile
's electronic

26 THE COURT: Are you saying that Mr. Aro
27 setup is causing these off-road bikers to •

28 MS. WATTERSON: No.

1 THE COURT: - drive around?

2 MS. WATTERSON: No, I'm saying

3 THE COURT: That's what you're suggest! ig.

4 MS. WATTERSON: Okay. Then I'm not excuse me.

5 I'm not making myself clear. I'm saying it' part of a

6 noise campaign, and it's very typical with t targeted

7 individuals.

8 MR. GHAN: Objection as to "typical."

9 THE COURT: Are you saying that you thi ik he's

10 orchestrating

11 MS. WATTERSON: Yes.

12 THE COURT: somebody to do this kind

13 MS. WATTERSON: Absolutely.

14 THE COURT: • driving around your place?

15 MS. WATTERSON: Yes.

16 THE COURT: Are they driving on your pr perty?

17 MS. WATTERSON: They can't drive on my roperty

18 because it's all fenced.

19 THE COURT: All right. But are they dr ving on •

20 then I take it they are driving by?

21 MS. WATTERSON: But they are driving on roads that

22 it's illegal. I even have a sign by my hous saying, you

23 know, one --

24 THE COURT: How do you know Mr. Aro is irecting

25 things?

26 MS. WATTERSON: Because it's the minute I walk out of

27 my house, the moment. And now, it's not as : uch now, I

28 have to admit. But it doesn't take -- and t en, if you

1 don't there's some kind of projected noise

I feel he's in this little room,
 got six monitors because the guy that 5, too.
 served and I know he's
 4 and - him saw them

5 MR. GHAN: Objection as to testimony
 fr >m what
 6 somebody else saw outside of court.

7 MS. WATTERSON: And •

8 THE COURT: Well •

9 MS. WATTERSON: And it all stems from m helping get.
 10 the off-road ordinance through. That you know, it's
 11 everybody wants to, you know -

12 THE COURT: Does Mr. Aro ride bikes?

13 MS. WATTERSON: I don't know, but it's

14 THE COURT: Have you ever seen him on a bike?

15 MS. WATTERSON: I've never seen him on a bike. But I
 16 have seen • the minute I walk out, they come or I hear
 17 them or --

18 THE COURT: Now, tell me about Mr. Aro's property.
 19 How big is it?

20 MS. WATTERSON: I don't know. It's don't know
 21 if it's two and a half, five acres. I think it's two and
 22 a half acres.

23 THE COURT: Do these bikers go to -

24 MS. WATTERSON: I can hear

25 THE COURT: your place?

26 MS. WATTERSON: I can hear them going over there,
 27 yes. This is a rural, rural area.

28 THE COURT: We're talking about -- what

1 MS. WATTERSON: Rural, rural area, and rou can hear
2 one a mile away.

3 THE COURT: How far away are these bike > --

4 MS. WATTERSON: You can hear

5 THE COURT: - Mr. Arc's?

6 MS. WATTERSON: You can hear one bike a mile away.

7 THE COURT: Okay. And Mr. Aro's place .s about a
8 half mile from you?

9 MS. WATTERSON: Right. And I couldn't swear to that,
10 but

11 THE COURT: Okay. Now, we've got to mo re on.

12 MS. WATTERSON: Okay.

13 THE COURT: Is there anything further t: lat are of the
14 physical sensations you complained to bring ^his matter?
15 I mean -

16 MS. WATTERSON: Physical sensations as < opposed to
17 like computer and all that and losing my job and all that?

18 THE COURT: You've already described th fact that
19 your electronics appear to be affected.

20 MS. WATTERSON: To the point where I lo t my j ob .

21 THE COURT: Well •

22 MS. WATTERSON: Yeah.

23 THE COURT: I don't know anything about that. But
24 the point is -

25 MS. WATTERSON: And so, yeah, nausea. : 'm -- my
26 breasts actually hurt. And like I said, it s a big fear
27 of mine because both my mom and sister had m stectomies .

28 THE COURT: So you have a fear of physi al

1 MS. WATTERSON: And he • - he knows that because he
2 can hear what I 'm saying, you know, and •

3 MR. GHAN: Objection. That's speculati Ml.

4 MS. WATTERSON: Livi will explain to yo i the audio
5 effects of microwaves and how that can happe i.

6 THE COURT: All right. I'll let that b 3, but that's
7 speculation at this point --

8 MS. WATTERSON: Okay.

9 THE COURT: • without being tied in.

10 MS. WATTERSON: Fair enough.

11 THE COURT: Mr. Ghan?

12

13 CROSS-EXAMINATION

14 BY MR. GHAN:

15 Q You've never seen Mr. Aro on a bik< 5?

16 A Never.

17 Q In fact, you don't even know if he rides the
18 bikes?

19 A I have no idea, no.

20 Q Okay. Now, you say every time you walk out of
21 your home, you hear bikes somewhere?

22 A Not as much lately, only, you know because
23 always quiets down a little bit before court and yeah -

24 Q My question is, did you testify th, at every time
25 you walk out of your house you hear bikes evi srywhere?

26 A Well, let's say like 80 percent of the time and
27 probably more like 95. I mean, once in a wh. lie I get out.
28 And if not bikes, some kind of noise campaig: i. It could

1 be hammering. It could be all sorts of thin

Q I'm focusing on the bikes.

3 A Okay. Well

4 Q On the times when you walk out of /our home and
5 you hear bikes

6 A I do hear bikes, uh-huh.

Q How far away are the bikes the las • time you
8 heard them?

9 A Well, sometimes they are on my str set.

10 Q I just asked about the last time.

11 A I'm trying to think because they'v 3 been pretty
12 good lately. I guess the last time was on m street, it
13 was two kids on the street.

14 Q Okay.

15 A It's often the same bikes

16 Q Did you recognize them as kids?

17 A Some are kids, but you can recogni the sound
18 of a bike

19 Q I'm just asking about the last tim

20 A Okay. Right, kids.

21 Q Two or more?

22 A Two.

23 Q Okay. Now, do those kids have any relationship
24 with Mr. Aro, to the best of your knowledge?

25 A I have no idea.

26 Q The time before that, do you recal L going out of
27 your house and hearing motorbikes?

28 A You know what, it has happened so 3ften that,

1 you know, you can't remember every single **tirie, but I have**
 2 many times heard it go over to Mr. Aro's.

3 Q Okay. Have recognized other bike riders than
 4 these two kids at times?

5 A I recognize the sound of bikes because every
 6 bike has a different sound.

7 Q How many different bikes do you recognize?

8 A I would recognize at least three different
 9 ones.

10 Q Okay. Those three that you just testified to,
 11 were they the same ones you heard those two kids riding?

12 A No.

13 Q Those are two additional bikes?

14 A Yes.

15 Q So you're sensitive enough that you can identify
 16 up to a mile away, you said -- now up to

17 A Not just me. is your testimony

18 Q Do you recognize the difference of different bikes?
 19 five different bikes up to a mile away? That is because
 20 testimony; correct? hear three

21 A No. sound.

22 Q Okay. So what exactly is your testimony
 23 regarding how you recognize the three different bikes?

24 A I recognize the three different bikes
 25 when I go -- walk outside of my house, I can
 26 different bikes, and they all have their own
 27 But

28 Q Okay. Now, how far away are those

1 A They are often at Mr. Aro's house
2 Mr. Aro's house.

3 Q How far away?

4 A That would be around a half a mile
5 couldn't swear to that.

6 Q Now, have you seen bikes in any otl
7 than Mr. Aro's house?

8 A Sure.

9 Q Okay. Now, the two kids you testi
10 did they go to Mr. Aro's house?

11 A Those particular ones don't, but w.
12 is

13 Q So now on some occasions, you're t
14 some of these bike riders -

15 A Right.

16 Q --in some directions where they a

17 A Right.

18 Q and some distance away -

19 A Sure.

20 Q sometimes go near Mr. Aro's hou

21 A I am, I'm saying that a threat --

22 Q That is your testimony?

23 A Every single bike isn't, you know
24 attributed to -- because it's a big off-road
25 there, even though it is illegal to ride on

26 Q Even though it's illegal, there's
27 people out there riding bikes; correct?

28 A That's true.

going to
and I
ler direction
Tied about,
lat happens
sstifying that
:e riding
36?
-- it isn't
ing place ny
street, a lot
of

1 Q And these bikes cause a lot of noi ;e; correct?
2 A Well, most people -

3 Q I'm just asking you if the
4 A Right, some do and some don't. So le people just
5 quietly, nicely putt by. Some people delibe rately make
6 noise .

7 Q Okay. Now, these some people that deliberately
8 make noise, that's not necessarily Mr. Aro; :orrect?
9 A Well, I feel that there is, becaus : I helped get
10 the off-road ordinance through, that there's a circle of
11 people -

12 Q I just want to know if you've hear . Mr. Aro
13 making noise on a bike outside your home?

14 A I haven't heard Mr. Aro making noi e , but •

15 Q Thank you.

16 A - projections --

17 Q The next thing I want to know is y DU said, in
18 response to the judge's questioning, that my client was
19 somehow orchestrating this off-roading; corr< ct? You just
20 said that?

21 A I do feel that, yes.

22 Q Okay. Now, you just testified tha~ there's a
23 lot of different bike riders; correct?

24 A True.

25 Q You've also testified that somehow these people
26 are all upset because you helped pass an ord .nance to make
27 their activities illegal; correct?

28 A Exactly.

1 Q Okay. You don't think it's that a tivity, to
 make their bike riding illegal, that's causi ig them to be
 around you rather than my client's orchestra

4 A Somebody is telling them when I'm about to walk
 5 out of my house.

6 Q Okay.

7 **A So —**

8 Q So your testimony is somebody's wa :ching you all
 9 the time?

10 A Unfortunately, yes.

11 Q Okay. During any particular time :hat comes to
 12 mind, have you seen my client's, the white o his eyes?

13 A I haven't had the

14 Q Has my client ever been staring in your
 15 windows?

16 A No, he

17 Q My client?

18 THE COURT: Ma'am, please.

19 THE WITNESS: I have a hot-wired fence ound my
 20 property.

21 Q (BY MR. GHAN) Has my client ever een walking
 22 on your porch?

23 A No.

24 Q And in fact, because you have a fe ice, my
 25 client's never been on your property without your
 26 expressed permission; correct?

27 A Exactly.

28 Q Has he ever been on your property?

1 A I don't think so.

2 Q Okay

3 A But •

4 Q Let me ask you about this plant th t died, ant
5 because I'm still not certain. The entire p didn't
6 die; correct?

7 A No. It was a plant here, plant he e, and this
8 part died and this part died because the wav went
9 through.

10 Q That made you feel nauseous?

11 A With the plant dying? No. It's j st physical
12 proof, one more time, that there's waves, wh ch I have
13 thousands of.

14 Q That is the only plant that's died on your
15 property; correct?

16 A Yes.

17 Q Okay.

18 A Well, it's two parts of two.

19 Q Okay.

20 A And that was in the beginning of tl is .

21 Q Now, what sort of electronics do y u have in
22 your home?

 A Just the usual, computer.

24 Q Okay. So we have a computer; yes?

25 A TV, yes.

26 Q You have a TV; yes?

27 A Yes.

28 Q How many?

1 A One.

2 Q How many computers?

3 A Two. One's never

4 Q How many cell phones?

5 A One.

6 Q How many surveillance cameras?

7 A At this time I only have eight.

8 Q Now, only eight. You've had more?

9 A I had two, and then it went off an d so - -a long
10 time ago so I just left it.

11 Q Okay. So you're down to eight?

12 A Right.

13 Q Okay. Are those eight surveillanc e cameras
14 connected in some fashion to one of your two computer
15 CPU's?

16 A No.

17 Q What are they connected to?

18 A They are wired and not wireless, b ecause it's
19 really easy to interfere with wireless. So they are just
20 connected to the thing they are connected to , and then
21 there's cameras and -

22 Q The thing they are connected to, i s that a
23 recording device of --

2 A Yeah. I think they call it DVR.

4

25 Q So they are recorded -

26 THE COURT: Let's take a ten-minutes re cess .

27 (A recess was taken.)

28 THE COURT: Mr. Ghan, I'm going to ask a favor of

1 you, if you would. And this might be the subject matter
2 of such with her testimony and that. If we ,ould hold off
3 further cross-examination of Ms. Watterson, 'd like to e
4 try to accommodate that expert witness. We^{Ti} only got an
5 hour left. And see what he's got to say.
6 MR. GHAN: Your Honor, whatever you wan : to do I ave
7 certainly always say yes to. The problem I 1 is in
8 terms of prima facie case, she has to first stablish the
9 fact of some sort of harassment.
10 THE COURT: Well, Counsel, the constant continual,
11 incessant vibrations that she's feeling, the heat, I
12 realize what microwave does, it cooks things And if
13 that's what is ultimately going to be determ ned by an
14 expert, this would suffice. It's minimal, bi t the point
15 is, it would suffice to hear the expert as t why she's
16 feeling the heat, why she's having electron! disturbance
17 on her TV, things like that. I realize elec ronics can do
18 that kind of thing, interference, scramble tl ings.
19 So that's basically - the thing i , I would
20 like to not have this expert come back, and f we're going
21 to hear anything of value, let's see what he s got to say.
22 MR. GHAN: Whatever you want, your Hono
23 THE COURT: Well, I'm just thinking in erms of
24 efficiency, that's all. I know you want to > 0 more with
25 her part, and you may ultimately succeed so hat maybe we
26 will be wasting time. But the point is, at his point I
27 don't think so. She's got her nose under th edge of the
28 tent with her prima facie case. She's not a 1 the way in,

<p>1 but she's got enough to, I think, hook on so: 2 interference with her life caused by some el< 3 something, discharge of some kind. I'm tryii 4 neutrally. You know, it's not a bolt of ligl 5 MR. GHAN: I have no idea what it is. 6 THE COURT: Well • 7 MR. GHAN: Well, I can speculate as eve 8 has done on the witness stand. Whatever, I c 9 to however you want to run the hearing. 10 THE COURT: Ms. Watterson, we're going - 11 expert to testify to try to accommodate him. 12 to ask you to step down, and you may be reca'. 13 MS. WATTERSON: Thank you. Shall I go . 14 MR. GHAN: Before she does that, might 15 I'm looking for the right phrase. We've spei 16 time to get what evidence we have than we sh< 17 She's the moving party in this case. My cli< 18 come back. I would ask that you limit the t< 19 terms of time. She's going to do her direct 20 I'll do the cross-examination, and then we'i: 21 the prima facie elements are met. But what 22 to do is have a repeat of what we've had for 23 hour -- 24 THE COURT: Counsel, she's established i 25 to be a prima facie case of some sort of int 26 her life physically caused by some sort of o 27 source . 28 MR. GHAN: Okay. I disagree. She hasn</p>	<p>ie sort of sctronic ig to classify itning. rybody else ion't object :o permit your We're going Lied. jet him? [suggest. it a lot more ould have . snt has to 3stimony in examination, . see whether [don't want the last •srhat appears srference in rtdoor 't proved any</p>
---	--

1 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

c ven been clea:: on symptoms.

a THE COURT: She doesn't know •

u MR. GHAN: That could be attributed to Something
s else.

a THE COURT: They can't testify to the causation; only
t her expert can.

i MR. GHAN: I ask that we get it down in THE an hour or --

o COURT: I'm trying to do that, yes. MR. GHAN:
n What I'm suggesting you do i; Watterson, you say, Ms.
have X number of minutes, Mr. Ghan you have

a X number minutes, and then we'll see where wo're at.

s That's what I'm asking for.

THE COURT: Denied. I would like to • -

a MR. GHAN: Okay.

l THE COURT: - postpone further cross ojf Ms.
l Watterson and bring in Mr. McCann.

• MS. WATTERSON: Shall I go get him?

S THE BAILIFF: I'll get him.

h THE COURT: The bailiff will.

e Please be seated, ma'am. We can ofily go to

4:30.

h Counsel, I saw from your materials that you let
me look at that you received about the witne ss, there's a
a CV with. Could we shorten his testimony and let the CV be
s Exhibit A?

n THE CLERK: It will be Exhibit 14.

, THE COURT: Well, no, for the trial.

t

e

1 THE CLERK: Right, because we have 13 e ^hibits thus
2 far .

3 THE COURT: It appears to be a couple p iges .

4 MR. GHAN: It's in the new stuff that I was given?

5 THE COURT: Whatever you have there, si :. I saw what

6 appeared to be a CV. Or if you would like, >ir, you can

7 voir dire him on it if you wish.

8 MR. GHAN: No, I don't wish to voir dir 5 him.

9 THE COURT: I'm going to ask him to gen srally
10 describe his qualifications, foundation.

11 MR. GHAN: At this time I don't object .0 his CV,
12 your Honor, other than the fact that it was given to me
13 last night.

14 THE COURT: Appreciate that.

15 MR. GHAN: So I don't have any way of r< ^searching
16 whether it's accurate or not. So for that ol ejection, it
17 shouldn't be admitted but -

18 THE COURT: No, I'm not admitting it. , \11 right.
19 Then we'll withhold it •

20 MR. GHAN: Okay.

21 THE COURT: •subject to your objectio] !.
22

23 LEVI McCANN,

24 called as a witness by the Plaintiff, h iving been
25 previously sworn, testified as follows:

26

27 THE COURT: All right. Mr. McCann, hav 5 you been
28 sworn, sir?

1 23 24 25 26 27 28

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

T n you describi in two
Iminutes or less what your qualifications for this
F assignment are and your background and
V experience?
I

T THE WITNESS: I worked in the information technology
N
Ffield for 20 years. I've got a bachelor degree in
S computer science. I've been a college professor of
S
:computer science, and I've owned my own business for the
Ylast six years.

€ And most of what I do, between programming and
S
'being a network engineer, is a lot of what we do in
]computer science now days is obviously
: wireless, wireless
} engineering
} networking. And you know, within the network}
\
€itself, you have wired networks and you have
'networks.

T Those wireless networks happen to be within the
F : of the tools
I microwave range of the EMF spectrum, so a lo to the
(that we use now days are directly correlated
(finding of microwaves when we're building ne
C ,works and/or
R
]making networks, for lack of better term, encrypted to
:
other networks. So we use devices so we're naking sure
M that we're not encroaching on other networks but we also
I
can use -- the tools that we use are mainly :_n
Ithe microwave frequency spectrum.

C So, in two minutes or less, I gues the last 20
C
€years I've been deeply involved in networking
I
as well as computer science and this exact type of
'technology within the EMF spectrum, computer science
(programming and knowing
a

1 how this technology works.

2 THE COURT: In your work, sir, and your background, do
3 do you deal with subject matter that has to do with
4 interference with reception, like on TVs or telephones, or
5 perhaps the inducement of some sort of wave, we'll call
6 it, that might go through fixed objects, in other words,
rooms, either to detect or create any kind of heat?

7 THE WITNESS: Yes.

8 THE COURT: How so?

10 THE WITNESS: In the fact that in the microwave type
mi of wave used
11 spectrum in and of itself, depending on the like what
12 and microwaves a normal radio wave looks like. Now,
13 you say, is a wave, which we call sine wave. in buildings is
14 microwaves are used within buildings or in the
fashion,
15 around because they are actually square waves, so walls, projects
16 the waves, they are actually directed in a direction with
sc which is
17 and they are easily able to penetrate through
18 doors. There's no problem penetrating the
19 microwaves.

20 Now, there's a secondary microwave,
21 called a sawtooth wave --

22 THE COURT: How do you spell that?

23 THE WITNESS: Saw, like a -

24 THE COURT: S-a-w?

25 THE WITNESS: Yeah, and tooth.

26 And the reason it's called the sawtooth wave is
straight up
walls, but

27 it has the front end of a microwave, which i;
28 and down, and it's able to penetrate through

1 the back end of the wave is shaped like a saw /tooth, so
 2 looks like a sawtooth wave. That's why they call it a
 3 sawtooth wave.

4 Now, within the spectrum of microwaves, when
 5 you're dealing with regular microwaves, which are square
 6 waves, you can actually heat up objects. In plain, that's
 7 essentially how a microwave oven works. But at the same
 8 time, if you're using the sawtooth wave and different
 9 resonant frequencies of the sawtooth wave, you can create
 10 anything from auditory responses to actually creating
 11 hallucinatory effects, ill health, sleep deprivation,
 12 depending on the frequency of the wave.

13 THE COURT: Is this in the human being?

14 THE WITNESS: Yes, I'm speaking of human beings,
 15 animals, any living creature that we know of. I'm not
 16 talking about plants. I know that microwaves can kill
 17 plants, and they can cause irreparable damage to plants.
 18 But I mean, plants obviously are not humans and they are
 19 not animals.

20 But I refer back to, you know, microwave
 21 sickness. If people are exposed to too much micro
 22 radiation, you can actually create what they know - is
 23 known as microwave sickness, and it's microwave radiation
 24 sickness. Not dissimilar to what you would consider gamma
 25 radiation sickness, where if someone came into contact
 26 with an atom bomb or something like that, but it's not the
 27 same.

28 In worse-case scenarios, it can induce cancer,

1 but in other scenarios, microwaves can penetrate the BOB
barrier, blood-brain barrier in the human mind. And it
can create nausea, sleep depravation. You can create
4 sicknesses. It really you can create depression within
5 people. I mean, this subject and these frequencies have
6 been being studied since the '60s, and there's been
7 reporting on them since the '60s.

For example, the embassy in Moscow where the
9 Russians were actually irradiating the people in our U.S.
10 embassy who were being transferred in and out every 18
11 months, nearly every single one of them either died of
12 cancer, leukaemia or some from of radiation sickness that
13 all boiled back to the fact that they were having
14 microwaves beamed at them --

15 MR. GHAN: Your Honor, can I object? At some point
16 we need a second question. And is Ms. Watterson going to
17 do the questioning?

18 THE COURT: I think you laid the foundation.

19 THE WITNESS: I was getting into what the effect of
20 microwave radiation was, so, yeah.

21 THE COURT: Okay. Now, tell us about when you were
22 assigned and what your work was in this regard as to what
Ms. Watterson told you that she was experiencing and what
24 you then did.

25 THE WITNESS: When I first met Ms. Watterson and I
26 was speaking with her, I told her what I did and that I
27 had, you know, experience in detecting microwaves and also
28 what they -- the effects were and whatnot. When she told

1 me what was going on, I didn't believe her. I didn't
believe that there was anything to the •-- there was any
3 valid claim. And so I took -

4 MR. GHAN: Objection, your Honor. The questions were
5 what did she tell you when you first met her.

6 THE COURT: I think that's what's important, sir.

7 THE WITNESS: Okay. When she first met - when I
8 first met her, she asked me if I had known anything about
9 microwaves or electromagnetic frequencies that could cause
10 harm to people, and I said, "Yes, I do." And she said -
11 and she asked my background. I explained my background.
12 And she asked if she - if I would take a look around at
13 her house with -

14 THE COURT: What was it that she told you she was

15 THE WITNESS: She said she was experiencing things
16 that were symptoms of sicknesses that were related that
17 she didn't know were related to microwave sickness. But I
18 mean, symptoms of sleep deprivation to nausea to some
other ailments that are related to what we would consider
20 microwave sickness. And so I • after

21 MR. GHAN: Objection, your Honor. There's no further
22 question pending.

23 THE COURT: Then •

24 THE WITNESS: I

25 THE COURT: Did you seek to explore the source of
26 what her difficulty might have been caused by?

27 THE WITNESS: Yeah. Yes, I did.

28 THE COURT: Go ahead, tell me what you did.

1 THE WITNESS: So my initial reaction was that, you
2 know, this -

3 MR. GHAN: Objection, your Honor. The initial
4 reaction wasn't the questions that was asked.

5 THE COURT: Please, we're

6 THE WITNESS: I'm getting to going into the case.

MR. GHAN: I object, your Honor.

8 THE COURT: All right. Mr. McCann, what research did
9 you engage in to find the source of her discomfort?

10 THE WITNESS: I brought out a multitude of different
11 meters that I have, each one more sensitive than the
12 previous ones, which I referred to in the report filed
13 with the • - Mr. Ghan and as well as you, your Honor.

14 And I found that where Ms. Watterson lives, that
15 there are no source of microwaves. And the main source of
16 microwaves that normally occur in everyday life are really
17 from cell phones and from network computers, wireless
18 network computers, and where she lives, there are none.
19 There's no cell phone reception, nor were there any
20 network computers, or even any remote chance of even
21 finding any sort of network out there of a computer
22 network.

23 And once I realized that, I took my trifield
24 meter and started doing measurements around the residence,
25 in different areas around the residence, and I found that
26 the highest amount of microwave radiation • and it
27 wasn't -- it wasn't radio wave radiation or anywhere down
28 the field. It was specifically in the range - was in the

1 northwestern portion of the front of her house.

And as I was moving around her house, I found that the southwestern portion had the second most. And then as I moved down across her house and I got down to the southwestern (sic) portion of the house, that had the least amount of microwave radiation. And then I moved over to the northwestern side of the house, and that had the same exact levels of radiation in the microwave spectrum - • when I refer to it, it's all in the microwave spectrum as it did in the southwestern portion of the house.

And now, that being said, the only things I could conclude after that was I would check any, you know, causes of possible microwave radiation, which I came to the smartmeter. And we have - we all have the you know, the newer homes that they have switched out have the smartmeter installed in the homes that is there to supposedly regulate electricity going in and out of our homes to better assess how much electricity we use.

MR. GHAN: Your Honor, I object again. This is becoming a narrative. Is Ms. Watterson going to ask a question at some point?

THE WITNESS: Well, you were asking me how -

THE COURT: Counsel, we're trying to - • did you rule out anything in the home?

THE WITNESS: That's exactly what I did, your Honor. I ruled out everything I possibly could in the home, and to the point I got absolutely no microwave signals coming

1 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

f nce I did that, I was still getting microwaves, and
r they were coming from a generalized direction.
o After -

m THE COURT: Did that

THE WITNESS: Excuse me.

t THE COURT: All right. You learned •

h MR. GHAN: Your Honor, I'm sorry to object. My
e client's asking me in my ear why you're her attorney. I
don't know what to tell him.

h THE COURT: Because Ms. Watterson doesn't seem to
o have the facility to do this.

m MR. GHAN: And that's why she's held to the same
e standard as an attorney. My client is right, you can't.
represent her, and I have to point that out to do my job.

w THE COURT: I'm not representing her.

h MR. GHAN: You've done the entire cross-examination.
a And I respectfully have to say that's not how a hearing's
t supposed to go, in my opinion, your Honor.

s THE COURT: I think it's Code Section 232 or 233 of
o the Code of Civil Procedure that says the Court has the
e obligation to run the court in its most efficient manner.

v MR. GHAN: No doubt. I don't object to you running
e your court.

r THE COURT: That's what I'm trying to do. I'm
• leaving it open for you to have areas of cross-examination
instead of just wandering. You will have opportunities to

A

n

d

o

1 cross-examine.

MR. GHAN: Your Honor, I don't disagree with what you
3 just said, but I think it's beside the point. You can't
4 represent her

5 THE COURT: I'm not representing her.

6 MR. GHAN: in this hearing.

My client thinks you are, and I don't know what
8 to tell him.

9 THE COURT: I'm sorry your client thinks so, sir, but
10 he has us you as his attorney.

11 MR. GHAN: I'm representing him, and you're
12 representing her, and you've done the entire examination.

13 THE COURT: Mr. Ghan.

14 MR. GHAN: I just want my objection on the record.

15 THE COURT: It is noted, sir.

16 MR. GHAN: And I would ask that Ms. Watterson perform
17 as the attorney she's supposed to be when she's
18 representing herself.

19 THE COURT: Can you do this, Ms. Watterson?

20 MR. GHAN: Probably not, but you shouldn't be is my
21 objection.

22 THE COURT: Can you do this, Ms. Watterson?

23 MS. WATTERSON: I can definitely ask some
24 questions.

25 THE COURT: Go ahead.

26 /////

27 /////

28 /////

1 DIRECT EXAMINATION

2 BY MS. WATTERSON:

3 Q I have a picture here of Mr. Aro's house, and on
4 this picture is a microwave generator; at that correct?

5 A Can I see the picture up close, please?

6 MR. GHAN: Has the picture been marked?

MS. WATTERSON: You have the picture.

8 MR. GHAN: Has the picture been marked?

9 THE WITNESS: It has been.

10 THE COURT: What number is it?

11 THE CLERK: I don't have that picture marked. Is it
12 in a packet?

13 THE WITNESS: It's in one of of the packets. I think
14 it's in 7 or 8, if I recall correctly.

15 THE CLERK: It's in with 8.

16 MS. WATTERSON: Can I take this up?

17 THE COURT: Has it been marked?

18 THE CLERK: Yes. It's No. 8.

19 MS. WATTERSON: May I take it?

20 THE COURT: You may show it to him, but don't stand
21 by him. Just let him use it.

22 THE WITNESS: Yeah, yeah. In this picture, yeah, is
23 his ham radio equipment, which is obvious what the ham
24 radio equipment is.

25 There is one thing in here that

26 MR. GHAN: Objection, your Honor. There's no
27 question pending. She asked him if it was a ham radio,
28 and he said yes.

1 THE WITNESS: No, she asked me if it was a microwave
2 generator.

THE COURT: - microwave generator, and there is a
4 circle around it.

5 THE WITNESS: And in my opinion, yes, that is a
6 microwave generator.

THE COURT: Go ahead, Ms. Watterson.

8 Q (BY MS. WATTERSON) Also, we have a picture of,
9 and we've both witnessed, two ten-foot dishes that are
10 pointed in my direction. And all • it's my understanding
11 and I want you to --

12 MR. GHAN: Objection, your Honor. This is
13 testimony.

14 THE COURT: You can ask if he's you can ask about
15 dishes.

16 Q (BY MS. WATTERSON) Okay. Are all dishes that
17 are receiving pointed to the southern sky because

18 A As far as I know, unless they've changed
19 something in the last 20 minutes that I don't know about,
20 every satellite dish that has been around, everyone since
21 the older model ten-foot dishes were around to receive
22 satellite signals, are always pointing to the southern
23 section of the skies somewhere over the equator.

24 And it could be anywhere from 101 degrees south
25 to 103 degrees, and I'm just giving those as references to
26 what DTS satellites are. But they are in geosynchronous
27 orbit, meaning that they hover in the same portion of
28 space that we turn so they are all always in the same spot

1 in the sky. And that being said, every --

2 MR. GHAN: Objection, your Honor.- There's no further
3 question pending.

4 THE WITNESS: Well, I haven't finished answering the
5 question she asked me.

6 MR. GHAN: Your Honor, I believe she asked were all
7 receiving dishes pointed to the southern sky_f and he said
8 yes.

9 THE COURT: Asked if he saw these dishes on or about
10 Mr. Aro's property.

11 THE WITNESS: Yes, and I was getting to that point.

12 And anyway, the dishes on the defendant's
13 property are not pointing at all towards the southern
14 section of the sky. As a matter of fact, they are at
15 direct line of sight pointing towards what would be
16 considered the northeast section of the sky, which is
17 directly pointed at Ms. Watterson's property. And with
18 any sort of satellite dish, you can actually run in
19 reverse and create a transmit --

20 MR. GHAN: Objection, your Honor. This certainly
21 isn't responsive to the question.

22 THE COURT: Sustained.

23 MR. GHAN: And I would ask that it be stricken.

24 THE COURT: Stricken.

25 Ms. Watterson, ask a question.

26 Q (BY MS. WATTERSON) I have a picture here.
27 Could you explain -- this is a picture of a satellite dish
28 on Mr. Aro's property. Could you explain why it's going

1 to my house and if it was actually being used as a normal
satellite, the southern skies?

3 MR. GHAN: Objection, your Honor. He'd have to
4 speculate as --

5 THE COURT: But the question is, what is the
6 effect •

7 Q (BY MS. WATTERSON) What is the

8 THE COURT: those two satellite dishes.

9 THE WITNESS: The effect of the two satellite dishes
10 pointing towards your house, if they were used as
11 transmitters, they would be a direct energy beam of
12 microwaves pointing directly towards your house.

13 MR. GHAN: Your Honor, this testimony is speculation.
14 He can't start by "If."

15 THE WITNESS: This is actual science, and I will

16 THE COURT: Overruled.

17 THE WITNESS: • leave it up to you to actually

18 THE COURT: Sir, one moment.

19 Mr. Ghan, he's telling what he thinks these
20 microwaves can do. Now, whether they do do it or not,
21 that's remains to be seen.

22 You may answer the question, sir.

23 MR. GHAN: Could we have the reporter repeat the
24 question?

25 THE WITNESS: Do you want me to repeat the question?

26 THE COURT: No, hold off, sir.

27 (The requested portion of the record was read by the
28 reporter.)

1 MR. GHAN: And I object to the form of the question.
He can only speculate as to why it's pointed in any
3 particular direction.

4 THE COURT: Well, Ms. Watterson, next question.

5 Q (BY MS. WATTERSON) Well, we have actually
6 witnessed two of them. This is a picture of just one. We
7 went --

8 MR. GHAN: Objection. This is testimony.

9 MS. WATTERSON: Excuse me?

10 THE COURT: You're not testifying, Ms. Watterson.

11 Q (BY MS. WATTERSON) Okay. Would you tell the
12 Court about us going in a five-mile radius of my house and
13 the direction of all of other dishes?

14 A I can tell the Court that every single dish that.
15 we came across, and mind you, there was 40 of the older
16 model ten-foot dishes within that five-mile radius, and
17 every single dish other than the defendant's two dishes
18 were pointed toward the southern section of the sky.

19 Q Which is

20 A Where •

21 Q you get telecommunications?

22 A Well, where telecommunication satellites exist
23 in space, yes.

24 Q So this dish could actually be transformed into
25 a transmitter?

26 A Exactly.

27 Q And this dish is directly pointed at my home?

28 A Yes.

1 23 24 25 26 27 28

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Q A That I've witnessed?

Q Yes.

And A I witnessed your own surveillance system, I
any witnessed what I would determine as radio frequency
of interference within the • - within the actual cameras
the themselves. I've also witnessed on your computer having
othe radio frequency interference, as well as on your
r television, which was kind of a mind-blower to me because
inte that takes a lot of microwave energy to interfere with a
rfer television reception signal.

ence I've witnessed those. And during those same
s times of witnessing those, I also notice the spikes in the
that meters that I have, which Mr. Ghan and the defendant as
are well as -

ther MR. GHAN: Objection, your Honor. This is not
e responsive to the question.

any THE COURT: Well

othe THE WITNESS: She asked me if I witnessed any other
r devices being affected.

inte THE COURT: I think that the objection is noted.
rfer Overruled.

ence You may continue, sir, with what you personally
s witnessed on the premise.

that THE WITNESS: And I also witnessed, which Mr. Ghan,
you' like I said, the Court and Ms. Watterson has, that the

ve
calc
ulat
ed
on
the

1 telltale signature of microwaves on the RF spectrum meter
2 happening at the same time this interference was going on
3 amongst not only the television but within her own
4 surveillance system that she has around her house. So
5 that's what I can testify to, and actually seeing this on
6 not only one occasion but on about seven different
7 occasions witnessing the same thing.

8 MS. WATTERSON: We actually have some actual evidence
9 here that we can show this interference. Can Mr. Ghan -
10 I mean Mr. McCann, can he show you that?

11 THE WITNESS: It's on the computer

12 MS. WATTERSON: It's on the computer.

13 THE WITNESS: • is what she's asking. I mean, the
14 reason it's there is just to show that the data sets that
15 I was measuring were actually verifiable at the same time
16 that • and that's why they are there. And other than
17 that, I mean, I don't think that it really helps or
18 hinders the -- with the court either way because it just
19 shows that what we're saying is exactly what was happening
20 during the time that I was measuring --

21 THE COURT: What was the time frame?

22 THE WITNESS: This was over a multitude of actually
23 about a month and a half. It wasn't • it wasn't a time
24 frame of one day or anything like that. This was about a
25 six-week occurrence, where it wasn't every single day that
26 I was doing measurement, but it was probably three to six
27 times a week I would go up there at different times and
28 take different measurements and see what was going on at

1 different times throughout the week.

And also, that way and I could also do a
triangulation. And you know, also I could see what
4 frequencies were being emitted in that direction. So it
5 was over a time frame of six weeks, and it was usually in
6 anywhere from three to six times a week.

THE COURT: And the times of day?

8 THE WITNESS: Sometimes it was the 9 o'clock in the
9 morning to noon. Other times it was from 3:00 to 7:00.
10 Other times it was from 6:00 to 10:00. Other there
11 was • with didn't - we didn't make it one particular
12 time frame because we wanted to see it happening
13 throughout the course of the day. I never did it
14 overnight because, I mean, I have to sleep and, you
15 know -

16 THE COURT: Okay.

17 THE WITNESS: that kind of stuff, but

18 THE COURT: Thank you.

19 Ms. Watterson, anything further?

20 MS. WATTERSON: Well, I can prove • so you can
21 actually see the rays coming into the house. I can
22 actually show you the interference from the TV. Here's a
23 picture of just some frequencies. This is my house, and
24 actually see in this picture some of the frequencies.

25 THE COURT: What exhibit is that?

26 MS. WATTERSON: This is "Knowing is Half the Battle"
27 in that packet.

28 THE WITNESS: 3.

1 MS. WATTERSON: 3, yeah.

2 THE WITNESS: 2 or 3.

3 MS. WATTERSON: And I have many, many videos of it.

4 THE COURT: Well, if Mr. Ghan wishes to have us show
5 those, we can. But under the circumstances, the witness
6 has testified to the existence of such microwaves and the
7 effects of them. And as far as I'm concerned, I don't
8 need to see the video demonstrations or hear the static or
9 whatever they might have.

10 Mr. Ghan, wish to inquire?

11 MR. GHAN: My cross-examination?

12 THE COURT: I think so.

13 MR. GHAN: Okay. Certainly.

14

15 CROSS-EXAMINATION

16 BY MR. GHAN:

17 Q The readings that you took were in Ms.
18 Watterson's home; correct?

19 A They were in her home and also around her
20 home.

21 Q Okay. What equipment did you use in and around
22 her home?

23 A I used the trifield meter, which actually
24 measures in electric fields, radio fields and microwave
25 frequencies.

26 I used, which is a highly accurate meter, I used
27 the RF Explorer Handheld Spectrum Analyzer, which I have
28 here, which measures directly -- you can do a spectrum

1 24 25 26 27 28

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

anal coming in. It shows if it's a regular sine wave, which
alyzer would be a regular radio signal, as opposed to a
of microwaves, which is a square, or a sawtooth, which is a
the microwave that can have an auditory or some sort of a
diff visual stimulation.

eren I used the Gotlett (phonetic) meter, which measures the
t different frequencies Hertz ranges and knowing the
freq different frequencies and what they do to the blood-brain
uenc barrier. It also gives a highly accurate spectrum of
ies, what types of pulses are being generated. And I also used
but an X-Tech. It's not only a Gauss meter but a Tesa gauge,
it but it also measures extremely low frequency waves as
also well.

meas So there wasn't just one type of spectrum
ures analyzer, and -

the Q But the point is, Ms. Watterson invited you to
Hert her home and property to use these devices; correct?

z of A Yes.

what Q And your readings were all done at and around
freq her home; correct?

uenc A Yes.

ies Q Okay.

and A And around her fence and her property line,
also yes

the Q Okay. Were you similarly invited or allowed on
type my client's property?

s of
wave
s
that
are

1 24 25 26 27 28

2

3

4

5

6

7

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

A s in his home?

N A No, I didn't take readings in his home. I took
O readings in my car next to his home, though.

Q Did you ever address my client and talk to him
C about your readings?

D A No.

i Q Okay. Did you ever go in his home to see what
c equipment he has there?

A No.

y Q Did you ever see him present at the home?

o A No.

u Q Did you ever see him turn any of his devices on?

No.

t Q Okay. Did you --

a As a matter of fact, I've never seen him before

k A

Q

A

a today.

n Q Did you ever take any readings on his property
y from that dish that you talked about in Exhibit 8?

r A I took readings in my car right in front of the
e satellite dish from the road, as a matter of fact, on four
e or five different occasions.

a Q When you took those readings, was my client
d home?

i A I couldn't tell you if he was or not.

n Q Okay. Are you a doctor, a medical doctor?

g A No.

1 Q So if somebody's having - well, strike that.
 Did Ms. Watterson tell you she was experiencing
2 nausea? 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

 A
3 O

4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

evaluate her as to what the source of her nausea was?

A Not in any sort of medical doctor way. I just took her word for it.

Q Now, certainly, and you took her word similarly that she was feeling vibrations; correct?

A Yeah.

Q Okay. And took her word that she was, what, sleep deprivation, sleep deprived?

A Uh-huh. I'm not a doctor. I can't evaluate •

THE COURT: Sir, the answer is yes.

Q (BY MR. GHAN) That was my next question anyway.

A Meaning that if somebody tells me that's happening to them, I take it that

Q You have to wait for a question.

A Yeah.

Q You are not a doctor and you can't evaluate the source of any of her discomfort; correct?

A No, but I couldn't evaluate the source of your discomfort, either.

Q So no matter how many microwaves are out there and no matter what your knowledge is that they may cause, you cannot say for a fact that those were caused in Ms. Watterson?

O

kay.

Did

you

in

any

fashi

on

1 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

A t said you're not a doctor.

A I said a medical doctor. But the way you just
tput that said that there's no - the way you put that and
rthe way I took that is that there's no evidence showing
athat there's a thing that's -

t Q I didn't ask for evidence. I asked can you link
'her discomfort to any particular radio wave or microwave
s on any particular day?

A Yes, as a matter of fact, I can.

u Q You can do it in a general sense; correct?

n Yes, I do it from all the background research on

t A it.

r But you can't say that the reason she's
usuffering nausea is because of a microwave at 3:00 p.m. in
ethe afternoon; correct?

. A No, I can't.

Q Q And you've similarly

A Nor can I tell you that you were suffering
Wnausea because you ate bad meat.

e Q That's correct. And similarly, you can't say
lthat her breasts are hot because there is a microwave
lpointed at her room at the moment she complaining about;
, correct?

A Yes.

Y Q The point is that to link any of your readings
oto her physical ailment you're not qualified to do. You're
uonly qualified to interpret the readings that your

j
u
s

1 instruments gave you; correct?

2 A I see where you're going with this. I'm
3 qualified --

4 Q That is a yes or no question. Are you
5 qualified --

6 A I'm qualified •

7 Q - her ailments

8 THE REPORTER: One at a time.

9 Q (BY MR. GHAN) Are you qualified to link her
10 ailment, specifically nausea, to any of your microwave
11 readings?

12 A Yes, because they are directly correlated to the
13 exact frequencies that were being generated at the time of
14 these readings taken, yes.

15 Q Now, you just said you couldn't tell

16 A I said I'm not a medical doctor.

17 Q Okay. So you don't know the source of her
18 nausea?

19 A No.

20 Q Okay.

21 A But

22 Q And neither do you know the source of her
23 breasts hurting; correct?

24 A No.

25 Q Neither do you know the source of tinglings or
26 vibrations in her body; correct?

27 A Correct, but there is a direct cor. relation
28 between --

1 23 24 25 26 27 28

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

M. There's no question pending.

R THE COURT: You'll have an opportunity to explain.

. THE WITNESS: Okay.

Q (BY MR. GHAN) Did she tell you she was seeing a
G psychiatrist?

H A The subject never was brought up.

A Q So the answer is no?

N A No.

: Q Okay. Thank you. Did she ever tell you she was
in fear of having a mastectomy and cancer?

O A No.

b MR. GHAN: I have no further questions, your Honor

j THE COURT: Ms. Watterson?

e

c

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

t BY MS. WATTERSON:

i Q There have been • could you explain studies and
o the correlation between the microwaves and the illnesses,
n the nausea, the cancer, anyway that you think the Court
, could understand it?

A Absolutely.

Y MR. GHAN: Objection, your Honor. Beyond the scope
o of cross. I asked if he was a medical doctor.

u THE COURT: Overruled. You mentioned the source of
r her discomfort, and that's the subject of cross as well as
redirect.

H You may answer the question, sir.

o

n

o

r

THE WITNESS: I bring to the Court's attention, which
the Court has copy of, Mr. Ghan has copy of, it's Power
3 Watch.

4 MR. GHAN: Objection, your Honor. That is not his
5 direct testimony. He can't introduce exhibits.

6 THE WITNESS: This isn't introducing. This is just
directing something that you already have.

8 THE COURT: Sir, what materials generally recognized
9 by the authorities in your business do they rely upon for
10 your suggestions of her illness?

11 THE WITNESS: Meaning when it comes to microwave
12 sickness, radiation sickness?

13 THE COURT: Yes.

14 THE WITNESS: We're directed towards the American
15 medical journals as well as the other there's been over
16 8,300 articles or actual medical - medical I don't
17 know what medical articles are called, but over 8,300
18 articles written on microwave sickness alone.

19 So we're directed about the symptoms of
20 microwave sickness and what the symptoms • and they are
21 all generally accepted as this is what happens when you're
exposed to too much microwave radiation.

23 And so by bringing in the symptoms, you know,
24 and some of the symptoms - like I said, the thing with
25 microwave radiation is it's one of the only sources of
26 radiation that can penetrate through the blood-brain
27 barrier within the human mind, which is cause neuroleptic
28 problems.

1 It can -- in high doses it's known to cause
cancer. It can cause leukaemia. It can cause sleep
depravation. It can cause depression. It can cause
4 things that look like, I want to say, mental illness, but
5 that's not the correct term. More like when I said
6 neuroleptic, I mean neurological damage, meaning shaking,
nerve shaking, because it crosses the blood-brain barrier,
8 and in doing so, it affects the neurons in the brain,
9 causing, you know - it's widely known all the different
10 causes of microwave radiation sickness.

11 So in my business, not only are there 8,300
12 medical, medical, you know, articles written on microwave
13 radiation sickness alone, but we're directed to go back
14 and look at what the symptoms are of too much microwave
15 radiation in the business that I'm in.

16 Does that answer your question or

17 THE COURT: You've answered her question.

18 THE WITNESS: Okay.

19 Q (BY MS. WATTERSON) Is this generally what
20 happens, this type of microwave, in others that you have
21 helped -

22 A Uh-huh.

23 Q - as you're helping me?

24 Is this generally the same thing that happens to
25 them?

26 MR. GHAN: Objection, your Honor. Beyond the scope
27 of cross.

28 THE COURT: Sustained.

1 THE WITNESS: Yeah. I -

2 THE COURT: No, there's no question.

3 MR. GHAN: And I ask it be stricken.

4 THE WITNESS: Yeah, it's

5 THE COURT: It was a technical objection, and it's
6 well taken.

Q (BY MS. WATTERSON) Okay. What, in your
8 opinion, being that I have multiple sclerosis, which is a
9 neurological disease and progressive, and I know you're
10 not a doctor, but just because you know what these waves
11 can do, what do you think?

12 MR. GHAN: Objection to the testimony. Can we just
13 get to the question?

14 THE WITNESS: I can't speculate on -

15 MS. WATTERSON: Okay.

16 MR. GHAN: Objection. There's no question.

17 THE COURT: Well, let's put it this way. The
18 question is inarticulate. But be that as it may, there's
19 no question pending, sir.

20 Q (BY MS. WATTERSON) What about the hijacking the
21 audio, how they can actually see and hear in the home
through microwaves?

23 MR. GHAN: Objection. Beyond the scope of cross.

24 MS. WATTERSON: Well, considering

25 THE COURT: It's a technical objection, and it's well
26 taken.

27 MS. WATTERSON: So that means I can't ask that?

28 THE WITNESS: Overruled or sustained?

1 THE COURT: I'm sustaining the objection.

2 THE WITNESS: Okay. So -

3 MR. GHAN: That means don't answer.

4 THE WITNESS: I know.

5 Q (BY MS. WATTERSON) So what I'm trying to get at
6 is --

THE COURT: You're covering material that he's
8 covered already.

9 Q (BY MS. WATTERSON) Okay. So the Court
10 understands that through these microwaves, it can actually
11 hear what you're saying and see you?

12 MR. GHAN: Objection. Testifying.

13 THE COURT: Ms. Watterson, we're not having dialogue
14 here.

15 MS. WATTERSON: Okay.

16 THE COURT: What limits your redirect is the
17 cross-examination and the scope the cross-examination.
18 And a lot of what you're asking now is a repeat of what
19 he's originally testified to, and so that's duplicative
20 and not necessary.

21 MS. WATTERSON: May I ask him if there's any other
22 things he feels the Court should know •

23 MR. GHAN: No.

24 THE COURT: • about the situation?

25 THE COURT: That's a little open-ended. That is too
26 broad. And I think under the circumstances, you've
27 covered what you need to cover.

28 MS. WATTERSON: Okay. Thank you.

1 MR. GHAN: Recross, your Honor?

2 THE COURT: You may.

3

4 RECROSS-EXAMINATION

5 BY MR. GHAN:

6 Q Have you formally diagnosed Ms. Watterson with
radiation sickness?

7 A I can't formally diagnose anyone. I'm not a
9 doctor.

10 MR.GHAN: No further questions, your Honor.

11 THE COURT: Thank you.

12 Anything further, Ms. Watterson?

13 MS. WATTERSON: (Skakes head in the negative.)

14 THE COURT: Thank you, sir.

15 THE WITNESS: Thank you, your Honor.

16 THE COURT: Just have a seat in the audience because
17 we're going to have a little discussion here about where
18 we're going.

19 (The witness stepped down from the stand.)

20 THE COURT: I didn't know whether you wanted to have
21 a moment with your client to discuss what you wanted to
22 do.

23 Ms. Watterson, do you have any other witnesses?

24 MS. WATTERSON: I do. I have some that have come
25 pretty far and many times, so I'd like them to have
26 their --

27 THE COURT: What

28 MS. WATTERSON: They are going to verify that they

1 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

2

3

4

5

6

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

are S. WATTERSON: San Diego •

also THE COURT: Well, that isn't going to help. That
vict isn't going to help. Your circumstance is peculiar to
ims your circumstance. And I think we would be getting into a
and lot of speculation and collateral, unnecessary effort.

this MS. WATTERSON: Well •

is THE COURT: Not that it isn't interesting, don't get
happ me wrong, but the is point is -

enin MS. WATTERSON: It is kind of a verification I'm
g to trying to get because there's so many more people besides
them just me.

, THE COURT: There may be a lot of people who are
too. affected by that.

T MS. WATTERSON: Yeah.

H THE COURT: Be that as it may

E MR. GHAN: So is she resting?

THE COURT: Well, I'm trying to suggest that.

C MS. WATTERSON: Then I take your suggestion.

C THE COURT: All right. She's rested, yes. Now, do
you need a minute to talk to your client?

R MR. GHAN: Not yet.

T THE COURT: I don't know if you want to recall this
gentleman. We need to plan that.

MR. GHAN: Okay. What I first would like to do is
ask for a directed verdict, your Honor.

h In terms of what she has to establish for her

e

r

e

?

M

1 case is not the fact of microwave exists, whether they are
2 in her house or in the world, but that my client is the
3 source of her injuries.

4 Now, whatever she has testified she is
5 suffering, and there's a litany that I still haven't been
6 able to examine her about, not once did she say she saw my
7 client do anything, nor is her expert able • -he's not
8 medically qualified, he said, to diagnosis radiation
9 sickness.

10 Now, he's an expert, can testify microwaves
11 cause radiation sickness. My seven-year-old when he knew
12 not to put his hand in the microwave. She has to
13 establish a link between her injuries and my client's
14 actions. And there's a couple of things she's asking you
15 to take on faith.

16 A, that the microwaves that he recorded have
17 caused her injuries. Nobody has testified about a causal
18 link. And secondly, that it's my client that is doing
19 this. It's not enough to show I was outside his house
20 taking recordings that were consistent with the recordings
21 and measurements at her house.

22 He testified he never once saw my client when he
23 was sitting outside of the house taking some sort of
24 measurements. Nobody, in fact, has put my client at a
25 keyboard, clicking whatever it takes to run those
26 satellite dishes. Nobody has established that he's the
27 only person in the house. He could have a wife. He could
28 have kids. He could have Santa Clause. All of those

1 things she has to establish to get a restraining order.
Whether microwaves exist in her house at any
particular level, she has to establish he has taken a
4 concrete action designed to harm her and has resulted in
5 harm to her.
6 He's not medically qualified. I think I got him
to say that about six different times. I'm sure she said
8 at least six different times in her testimony that she
9 never saw him riding a dirt bike, never saw him at her
10 house. She never saw him operating the equipment. She
11 simply doesn't know, but the plants are between her house
12 and his house, and that's not enough for a restraining
13 order.

14 And hopefully I don't have to put my client
15 through the time and the expense and the energy to come
16 black and prove the negative that he didn't do all of
17 these things. I don't mean all of these things. There
18 hasn't been a single thing shown that he's done. They
19 haven't shown he said one word on the ham radio. They
20 haven't shown he's done anything other than live in a
21 house.

22 And I'd ask you that you dismiss it at the end
23 of her case since she's resisted now.

24 MS. WATTERSON: So I can't say anything else?

25 THE COURT: Was it that you would offer?

26 MS. WATTERSON: Me?

27 THE COURT: Yes.

28 MS. WATTERSON: I would offer that we have pictures

1 of satellites that have actually recorded microwaves aimed
2 at my house, and we have recordings around my house

3 THE COURT: That's not

4 MS. WATTERSON: to do that.

5 THE COURT: That's not what's at issue. The issue is
6 whether or not Mr. Aro is doing that.

MS. WATTERSON: Well, it's his house, and I don't
8 think his wife is doing this. And he • and I've had a
9 big conversation with him about microwaves, and he's told
10 me himself that --

11 MR. GHAN: Objection. None of this is testimony.

12 MS. WATTERSON: That --

13 THE COURT: Ma'am, did you testify earlier that you
14 had a conversation and Mr. Aro about his equipment?

15 MS. WATTERSON: Yes.

16 THE COURT: Mr. Ghan, as far as the issue of the
17 motorcycles, I would agree with you, that's at best
18 tangential, and I don't think it's connected to Mr. Aro.
19 So that fact area is not of concern to the Court.

20 The Court is going to deny your motion for
21 directed verdict. She has established that she's suffered
22 this injury. It's been established that the only place
23 that it was coming from in surrounding her place was Mr.
24 Aro's place. And this was shown to - these waves and so
25 forth have been shown on numerous occasions to be
26 encroaching upon her property, and she's testified that
27 she talked to Mr. Aro about it.

28 The Court believes that there's been sufficient

1 evidence and it's been documented in journals, which is
2 commonly accepted in the scientific community, as being
3 the cause of some of these illnesses that might be
4 suffered by Ms. Watterson.

5 The motion for directed verdict is denied.

6 MR. GHAN: Can I be heard briefly?

7 THE COURT: You've been heard, sir. I'm not going to
8 debate it with you. You've been heard. I'm trying to
9 articulate the reasons why I'm ruling as I am.

10 MR. GHAN: Your Honor, I'm just asking you to clarify
11 for me what is the link — if he's shooting microwaves at
12 everybody in the neighborhood, the link that shows not
13 that it can cause harm but it has caused harm to her.
14 That's what's hasn't been established.

15 He's not a doctor. She hasn't shown a doctor.
16 Nobody has established that even if you believe that he's
17 generating microwaves at everybody in the neighborhood,
18 that that action has caused harm to her. You obviously
19 believe it can, but she has to establish it has. That's
20 what my argument is.

21 THE COURT: Well, your argument, again, she
22 established the fact that this started around 2010 and she
23 suffered with this, and she finally found the cause of it,
24 and that's the prima facie case.

25 The motion for directed verdict is denied.

26 Now, if you need a moment, I'll be happy to
27 grant it. I think a couple of officers want to see me for
28 a minute. I'll be back in five or ten minutes, give you a

1 chance to think about this.

MR. GHAN: We just need a new date. Obviously we
3 have to put on our case.

4 THE COURT: All right. Now, do you think that you
5 might need Mr. McCann back?

6 MR. GHAN: No.

7 THE COURT: All right. I mean •

8 MR. GHAN: He's not a doctor.

9 THE COURT: Well, sir, that's not the point.

10 MR. GHAN: The answer is no, I don't need him.

11 THE COURT: Okay. Then the Court will excuse Mr.
12 Ma'am?

WATTERSON: Well, that's what you • you know, \ MS.
14 first, I got the letter you wanted me to get, and I have
15 other things.

16 THE COURT: Ma'am.

17 MS. WATTERSON: Okay. But what I'm saying is I can't
18 use him again? Is that what you're saying?

19 THE COURT: You could call him if somebody else
20 testifies about the same material and you want to call him
21 and be available to clarify something

22 MS. WATTERSON: So when you say

23 THE COURT: redirect. You might be able to, you
24 might, but that's up to you.

25 MS. WATTERSON: When you say about the same material,
26 do you mean somebody else's experiencing the same thing I
27 am?

28 THE COURT: No, you have the opportunity to call him

1 on redirect if it is material, and I'm not going to be
2 your lawyer.

3 MS. WATTERSON: Okay.

4 MR. GHAN: We need a new date.

5 THE COURT: August 5th, that happens to be a Tuesday
6 afternoon.

THE CLERK: August 5 is open.

8 MS. WATTERSON: At 1:30?

9 THE COURT: Yes.

10 MS. WATTERSON: May I ask you one other question? I
11 mean, because -

12 MR. GHAN: Your Honor, I'm not available in the first
13 two weeks in August. I have a trial the second week, and
14 I'm in LA, Victorville, San Bernardino.

15 THE COURT: The reason we know about that day is
16 available is because the previous parties here weren't
17 able to take that date. But now we're going into
18 September.

19 THE CLERK: I have August 26.

20 THE COURT: August 26, that is a Tuesday.

21 MR. GHAN: I have a trial in Indio from the 25th to
22 28th.

23 THE CLERK: September 4th?

24 MR. GHAN: Yes.

25 THE COURT: September 4th is a Thursday, 9-4, 1:30.

26 MS. WATTERSON: May I ask the Court a question? You
27 know, I keep having these people coming to verify and
28 everything, but if it's not going to do any good, I don't

1 want to keep dragging --

2 THE COURT: Their experiences are going to run into
3 more opposition just because of the different
4 circumstances.

5 MS. WATTERSON: Okay.

6 THE COURT: And I think Mr. Chan's point would be
7 well taken. It would be cumulative, even if it was
8 relevant.

9 MS. WATTERSON: So, in other words, it really won't
10 do me any good? Okay.

11 THE COURT: How you phrase it

12 MS. WATTERSON: Okay.

13 THE COURT: is interesting, but

14 MS. WATTERSON: Well, it's them I'm thinking of, not
15 me.

16 THE COURT: The experience of others is not
17 necessary.

18 MS. WATTERSON: Okay. Thank you.

19 THE COURT: We're in recess, then, until September
20 4th 1:30, Thursday afternoon.

21 MS. WATTERSON: Thank you.

22

23 (No further proceedings on this date.)

24

25

26

27

SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT DISTRICT
MORONGO BASIN DIVISION, M4
COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA
HONORABLE FRANK GAFKOWSKI, JR., JUDGE PRESIDING

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO) SS

I, Gary B. Ragle, Jr., hereby certify that I, a Certified Shorthand Reporter, an Official Reporter in San Bernardino County Superior Court, was present and took down correctly in stenotype, to the best of my ability, the testimony and proceedings in the foregoing-entitled matter; and I further certify that the annexed and foregoing pages 1 through 85, inclusive, is a full, true and correct statement of such testimony and proceedings and a full, true and correct transcript of my shorthand notes thereof.



B. Ra^le, Jr., CSR No. 9848

Dated this 30th day of July, 2014.